



## Third Quarter Interim Report

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016

DO IT BETTER • DO IT ON TIME • DO IT SAFELY



## HIGHLIGHTS

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2016	2015	Change	2016	2015	Change
<i>(C\$000s, except per share and unit data)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(%)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(%)</i>
<i>(unaudited)</i>						
Financial						
Revenue	<b>174,925</b>	289,075	(39)	<b>541,668</b>	1,209,011	(55)
Operating income (loss) <sup>(1)</sup>	<b>(12,392)</b>	2,775	NM	<b>(39,913)</b>	23,597	NM
Per share – basic	<b>(0.11)</b>	0.03	NM	<b>(0.35)</b>	0.25	NM
Per share – diluted	<b>(0.11)</b>	0.03	NM	<b>(0.35)</b>	0.25	NM
Adjusted EBITDA <sup>(1)</sup>	<b>(11,055)</b>	7,211	NM	<b>(31,033)</b>	29,124	NM
Per share – basic	<b>(0.10)</b>	0.08	NM	<b>(0.27)</b>	0.31	NM
Per share – diluted	<b>(0.10)</b>	0.08	NM	<b>(0.27)</b>	0.30	NM
Net loss attributable to the shareholders of Calfrac before foreign exchange gains or losses <sup>(2)</sup>	<b>(41,572)</b>	(23,683)	76	<b>(123,009)</b>	(80,172)	53
Per share – basic	<b>(0.36)</b>	(0.25)	44	<b>(1.07)</b>	(0.84)	27
Per share – diluted	<b>(0.36)</b>	(0.25)	44	<b>(1.07)</b>	(0.84)	27
Net loss attributable to the shareholders of Calfrac	<b>(40,862)</b>	(24,191)	69	<b>(136,604)</b>	(80,096)	71
Per share – basic	<b>(0.35)</b>	(0.25)	40	<b>(1.18)</b>	(0.84)	40
Per share – diluted	<b>(0.35)</b>	(0.25)	40	<b>(1.18)</b>	(0.84)	40
Working capital (end of period)				<b>269,081</b>	296,816	(9)
Total equity (end of period)				<b>501,926</b>	742,972	(32)
Weighted average common shares outstanding (000s)						
Basic	<b>115,410</b>	95,523	21	<b>115,410</b>	95,453	21
Diluted	<b>116,555</b>	95,692	22	<b>115,610</b>	95,622	21
Operating (end of period)						
Active pumping horsepower (000s)				<b>644</b>	754	(15)
Idle pumping horsepower (000s) <sup>(3)</sup>				<b>578</b>	533	8
Total pumping horsepower (000s) <sup>(3)</sup>				<b>1,222</b>	1,287	(5)
Active coiled tubing units (#)				<b>20</b>	20	—
Idle coiled tubing units (#)				<b>12</b>	17	(29)
Total coiled tubing units (#)				<b>32</b>	37	(14)
Active cementing units (#)				<b>14</b>	28	(50)
Idle cementing units (#)				<b>11</b>	3	NM
Total cementing units (#)				<b>25</b>	31	(19)

<sup>(1)</sup> Refer to "Non-GAAP Measures" on pages 23 and 24 for further information.

<sup>(2)</sup> Net income (loss) attributable to the shareholders of Calfrac before foreign exchange (FX) gains or losses is on an after-tax basis. Management believes that this is a useful supplemental measure as it provides an indication of the financial results generated by Calfrac without the impact of FX fluctuations, which are not fully controllable by the Company. This measure does not have any standardized meaning prescribed under IFRS and, accordingly, may not be comparable to similar measures used by other companies.

<sup>(3)</sup> Excludes 92,500 pumping horsepower that has not been commissioned at September 30, 2016 (September 30, 2015 - 40,000).

## THIRD QUARTER 2016 OVERVIEW

### CONSOLIDATED HIGHLIGHTS

Three Months Ended September 30,	2016	2015	Change
<i>(C\$000s, except operational information)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(%)</i>
<i>(unaudited)</i>			
Revenue	<b>174,925</b>	289,075	(39)
Expenses			
Operating	<b>173,579</b>	269,799	(36)
Selling, general and administrative (SG&A)	<b>13,738</b>	16,501	(17)
	<b>187,317</b>	286,300	(35)
Operating (loss) income <sup>(1)</sup>	<b>(12,392)</b>	2,775	NM
Operating (loss) income (%)	<b>(7.1)</b>	1.0	NM
Adjusted EBITDA <sup>(1)</sup>	<b>(11,055)</b>	7,211	NM
Adjusted EBITDA (%)	<b>(6.3)</b>	2.5	NM
Fracturing revenue per job (\$)	<b>30,906</b>	34,727	(11)
Number of fracturing jobs	<b>4,508</b>	7,070	(36)
Active pumping horsepower, end of period (000s)	<b>644</b>	754	(15)
Idle pumping horsepower, end of period (000s) <sup>(2)</sup>	<b>578</b>	533	8
Total pumping horsepower, end of period (000s) <sup>(2)</sup>	<b>1,222</b>	1,287	(5)
Coiled tubing revenue per job (\$)	<b>36,482</b>	37,011	(1)
Number of coiled tubing jobs	<b>592</b>	590	—
Active coiled tubing units, end of period (#)	<b>20</b>	20	—
Idle coiled tubing units, end of period (#)	<b>12</b>	17	(29)
Total coiled tubing units, end of period (#)	<b>32</b>	37	(14)
Cementing revenue per job (\$)	<b>34,515</b>	46,274	(25)
Number of cementing jobs	<b>238</b>	433	(45)
Active cementing units, end of period (#)	<b>14</b>	28	(50)
Idle cementing units, end of period (#)	<b>11</b>	3	NM
Total cementing units, end of period (#)	<b>25</b>	31	(19)

<sup>(1)</sup> Refer to "Non-GAAP Measures" on pages 23 and 24 for further information.

<sup>(2)</sup> Excludes 92,500 pumping horsepower that has not been commissioned at September 30, 2016 (September 30, 2015 - 40,000).

Revenue in the third quarter of 2016 was \$174.9 million, a decrease of 39 percent from the same period in 2015. The Company's fracturing job count decreased by 36 percent mainly due to lower activity in Canada and the United States. Consolidated revenue per fracturing job decreased by 11 percent primarily due to job mix and lower pricing in Canada and the United States offset partially by higher service intensity in North America. Cementing revenue per job decreased by 25 percent due to the closure of cementing operations in the United States, which included larger jobs in the comparative quarter in 2015, and the completion of smaller jobs combined with lower pricing in Argentina.

Pricing in Canada decreased on average by 30 percent and in the United States it declined on average by 15 percent in the third quarter of 2016 from the third quarter of 2015. In Mexico and Russia, pricing was consistent with the third quarter of 2015 while pricing in Argentina was lower than the comparable period in 2015 due to competitive pricing pressure experienced from certain multinational competitors.

Adjusted EBITDA for the third quarter of 2016 was negative \$11.1 million compared to positive \$7.2 million in the comparable period in 2015 due to significantly lower utilization and pricing in the United States and Canada, and lower utilization and pricing in Argentina. The reduction in Adjusted EBITDA was partially offset by continued cost reduction initiatives since the end of the third quarter of 2015.

Net loss attributable to shareholders of Calfrac was \$40.9 million or \$0.35 per share diluted, compared to a net loss of \$24.2 million or \$0.25 per share diluted in the same period last year.

Three Months Ended	September 30, 2016	June 30, 2016	Change
<i>(C\$000s, except operational information)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(%)</i>
<i>(unaudited)</i>			
Revenue	<b>174,925</b>	150,605	16
Expenses			
Operating	<b>173,579</b>	144,716	20
SG&A	<b>13,738</b>	21,787	(37)
	<b>187,317</b>	166,503	13
Operating loss <sup>(1)</sup>	<b>(12,392)</b>	(15,898)	(22)
Operating loss (%)	<b>(7.1)</b>	(10.6)	(33)
Adjusted EBITDA <sup>(1)</sup>	<b>(11,055)</b>	(14,095)	(22)
Adjusted EBITDA (%)	<b>(6.3)</b>	(9.4)	(33)
Fracturing revenue per job (\$)	<b>30,906</b>	34,088	(9)
Number of fracturing jobs	<b>4,508</b>	3,610	25
Active pumping horsepower, end of period (000s)	<b>644</b>	582	11
Idle pumping horsepower, end of period (000s) <sup>(2)</sup>	<b>578</b>	640	(10)
Total pumping horsepower, end of period (000s) <sup>(2)</sup>	<b>1,222</b>	1,222	—
Coiled tubing revenue per job (\$)	<b>36,482</b>	33,873	8
Number of coiled tubing jobs	<b>592</b>	454	30
Active coiled tubing units, end of period (#)	<b>20</b>	19	5
Idle coiled tubing units, end of period (#)	<b>12</b>	13	(8)
Total coiled tubing units, end of period (#)	<b>32</b>	32	—
Cementing revenue per job (\$)	<b>34,515</b>	40,782	(15)
Number of cementing jobs	<b>238</b>	204	17
Active cementing units, end of period (#)	<b>14</b>	14	—
Idle cementing units, end of period (#)	<b>11</b>	11	—
Total cementing units, end of period (#)	<b>25</b>	25	—

<sup>(1)</sup> Refer to "Non-GAAP Measures" on pages 23 and 24 for further information.

<sup>(2)</sup> Excludes 92,500 pumping horsepower that has not been commissioned at September 30, 2016 (June 30, 2016 - 80,000)

Revenue in the third quarter of 2016 was \$174.9 million, an increase of 16 percent from the second quarter of 2016 primarily due to higher activity in Canada and the completion of larger jobs in the United States. Revenue per fracturing job decreased by 9 percent due to a change in job mix in Canada offset partially by the completion of larger jobs in the Marcellus shale play in Pennsylvania. Adjusted EBITDA as a percentage of revenue improved by 310 basis points primarily due to a \$4.6 million bad debt provision recorded in the second quarter.

Pricing in Canada, the United States and Russia was consistent with the second quarter of 2016. Pricing in Argentina was negatively impacted by the lower rig count in that country and the resulting competitive pricing pressure experienced from certain multinational competitors.

In Canada, revenue increased by 31 percent to \$59.6 million in the third quarter of 2016 due to higher fracturing and coiled tubing activity, offset partially by the completion of smaller jobs resulting from a change in job mix. The operating loss as a percentage of revenue was 3 percent, which was up from negative 10 percent in the second quarter due to higher utilization post spring break-up.

In the United States, revenue in the third quarter of 2016 increased by 10 percent from the second quarter of 2016 to \$52.6 million, mainly as a result of completing significantly larger jobs in Pennsylvania during the quarter, offset partially by lower activity. The operating loss as a percentage of revenue increased from 2 percent in the second quarter of 2016 to 11 percent in the third quarter. The deterioration in sequential results was driven by start-up costs associated with the deployment of

additional fleets in North Dakota and Pennsylvania, the impact of less than full utilization of the reactivated fleets, increased repair and maintenance costs, as well as a change in customer mix in Colorado.

In Russia, revenue of \$26.3 million in the third quarter of 2016 was 17 percent higher than the second quarter of 2016 as activity that was delayed during the second quarter was completed during the third quarter. Operating income as a percentage of revenue improved by 280 basis points to 16 percent primarily due to higher utilization and a greater proportion of higher priced callout work being performed during the quarter.

In Latin America, revenue increased by 5 percent to \$36.4 million primarily due to higher coiled tubing and fracturing activity in Argentina offset partially by lower pricing in that country. Operating income as a percentage of revenue decreased from a near break even level, excluding a \$4.6 million bad debt provision in the second quarter, to negative 6 percent in the third quarter of 2016. The decline in operating income was primarily due to lower pricing combined with severance costs of \$0.5 million being recorded in the quarter.

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

*This Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) for Calfrac Well Services Ltd. ("Calfrac" or the "Company") has been prepared by management as of October 26, 2016 and is a review of the Company's financial condition and results of operations based on International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS).*

*The focus of this MD&A is a comparison of the financial performance for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015. It should be read in conjunction with the interim consolidated financial statements for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 as well as the audited consolidated financial statements and MD&A for the year ended December 31, 2015.*

*Readers should also refer to the "Forward-Looking Statements" legal advisory at the end of this MD&A. All financial amounts and measures presented are expressed in Canadian dollars unless otherwise indicated. The definitions of certain non-GAAP measures used are included on pages 23 and 24.*

## CALFRAC'S BUSINESS

Calfrac is an independent provider of specialized oilfield services in Canada, the United States, Russia, Mexico and Argentina, including hydraulic fracturing, coiled tubing, cementing and other well stimulation services.

The Company's reportable business segments during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 were as follows:

- The Canadian segment is focused on the provision of fracturing and coiled tubing services to a diverse group of oil and natural gas exploration and production companies operating in Alberta, northeast British Columbia and Saskatchewan. The Company's customer base in Canada ranges from large multi-national public companies to small private companies. At September 30, 2016, Calfrac's Canadian operations had active horsepower of approximately 194,000 and seven active coiled tubing units. At the end of the third quarter, the Company had temporarily idled approximately 216,000 horsepower and six coiled tubing units.
- The Company's United States segment provides fracturing services to oil and natural gas companies operating in the Bakken oil shale play in North Dakota; in the Rockies area; and in southern Texas, where it services the Eagle Ford shale play. Calfrac also provides fracturing services to customers operating in the Marcellus and Utica shale plays in Pennsylvania, Ohio and West Virginia. During the third quarter of 2016, the Company reactivated fracturing operations in North Dakota; however, it continued to temporarily suspend its operations in south Texas. At September 30, 2016, Calfrac's United States operations had combined active horsepower of approximately 249,000 and no active cementing or coiled tubing units. At the end of the third quarter, the Company had temporarily idled approximately 362,000 horsepower, 11 cementing units and five coiled tubing units.
- The Company's Russian segment provides fracturing and coiled tubing services in Western Siberia. During the third quarter of 2016, the Company operated under a mix of annual and multi-year agreements to provide services to a number of Russia's largest oil producers. At September 30, 2016, the Company had seven deep coiled tubing units of which six were active and approximately 70,000 horsepower forming seven fracturing spreads in Russia.
- The Latin America segment provides pressure pumping services from operating bases in Argentina and Mexico. In Argentina, the Company provides fracturing, cementing and coiled tubing services to oil and natural gas companies operating in the Neuquén, Las Heras and Comodoro regions. The Company also provides fracturing and coiled tubing services to customers operating in the Burgos field of northern Mexico, the Chicontepec field of central Mexico and the Villahermosa field in southern Mexico. The Company had approximately 131,000 horsepower, 14 cementing units and seven coiled tubing units in its Latin America segment at September 30, 2016.

## CONSOLIDATED HIGHLIGHTS

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2016	2015	Change	2016	2015	Change
<i>(C\$000s, except per share amounts)</i> <i>(unaudited)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(%)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(%)</i>
Revenue	<b>174,925</b>	289,075	(39)	<b>541,668</b>	1,209,011	(55)
Operating (loss) income <sup>(1)</sup>	<b>(12,392)</b>	2,775	NM	<b>(39,913)</b>	23,597	NM
Per share – basic	<b>(0.11)</b>	0.03	NM	<b>(0.35)</b>	0.25	NM
Per share – diluted	<b>(0.11)</b>	0.03	NM	<b>(0.35)</b>	0.25	NM
Adjusted EBITDA <sup>(1)</sup>	<b>(11,055)</b>	7,211	NM	<b>(31,033)</b>	29,124	NM
Per share – basic	<b>(0.10)</b>	0.08	NM	<b>(0.27)</b>	0.31	NM
Per share – diluted	<b>(0.10)</b>	0.08	NM	<b>(0.27)</b>	0.30	NM
Net loss attributable to the shareholders of Calfrac	<b>(40,862)</b>	(24,191)	69	<b>(136,604)</b>	(80,096)	71
Per share – basic	<b>(0.35)</b>	(0.25)	40	<b>(1.18)</b>	(0.84)	40
Per share – diluted	<b>(0.35)</b>	(0.25)	40	<b>(1.18)</b>	(0.84)	40

As at	September 30,	December 31,	Change
	2016	2015	
<i>(C\$000s)</i> <i>(unaudited)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(%)</i>
Working capital, end of period	<b>269,081</b>	305,952	(12)
Total assets, end of period	<b>1,618,963</b>	1,815,823	(11)
Long-term debt, end of period	<b>964,897</b>	927,270	4
Total equity, end of period	<b>501,926</b>	623,719	(20)

<sup>(1)</sup> Refer to "Non-GAAP Measures" on pages 23 and 24 for further information.

## THIRD QUARTER 2016 OVERVIEW

In the third quarter of 2016, the Company:

- generated revenue of \$174.9 million, a 39 percent decrease from the third quarter of 2015, resulting primarily from lower activity and pricing in North America;
- reported adjusted EBITDA of negative \$11.1 million versus positive \$7.2 million in the third quarter of 2015, mainly as a result of significantly lower utilization and pricing in North America and lower utilization and pricing in Argentina. Cost reductions implemented across the Company partially mitigated the decline in adjusted EBITDA;
- reported a net loss attributable to shareholders of Calfrac of \$40.9 million or \$0.35 per share diluted compared to a net loss of \$24.2 million or \$0.25 per share diluted in the third quarter of 2015;
- reported period-end working capital of \$269.1 million which included cash of \$106.6 million versus \$306.0 million of working capital and \$124.0 million of cash at December 31, 2015;
- incurred capital expenditures of \$6.9 million; and
- reactivated its North Dakota fracturing operations.

In the nine months ended September 30, 2016, Calfrac:

- generated revenue of \$541.7 million, versus \$1,209.0 million in the first nine months of 2015, resulting primarily from lower activity and pricing in North America;
- reported adjusted EBITDA of negative \$31.0 million versus positive \$29.1 million in the first nine months of 2015, mainly as a result of significantly lower equipment utilization in North America combined with weaker pricing for the Company's services in Canada and the United States and a provision of \$5.1 million for bad debt expense recorded during the period;
- reported a net loss attributable to shareholders of Calfrac of \$136.6 million or \$1.18 per share diluted compared to a net loss of \$80.1 million or \$0.84 per share diluted in the comparable period in 2015;
- incurred capital expenditures of \$23.0 million;
- closed a \$200.0 million second lien senior secured term loan financing with Alberta Investment Management Corporation (AIMCo). The proceeds were used to pay down all of its borrowings under its credit facilities and its bank loan in Argentina;
- temporarily shut down its south Texas and North Dakota fracturing operations and its cementing operations in Pennsylvania during the second quarter of 2016, but subsequently reactivated its fracturing operations in North Dakota during the third quarter; and
- permanently closed its Medicine Hat operating facility in Canada.

## FINANCIAL OVERVIEW – THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 VERSUS 2015

### CANADA

Three Months Ended September 30,	2016	2015	Change
<i>(C\$000s, except operational information)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(%)</i>
<i>(unaudited)</i>			
Revenue	59,577	121,469	(51)
Expenses			
Operating	59,666	108,285	(45)
Selling, general and administrative (SG&A)	1,939	2,554	(24)
	61,605	110,839	(44)
Operating (loss) income <sup>(1)</sup>	(2,028)	10,630	NM
Operating (loss) income (%)	(3.4)	8.8	NM
Fracturing revenue per job (\$)	20,738	26,339	(21)
Number of fracturing jobs	2,492	4,320	(42)
Active pumping horsepower, end of period (000s)	194	230	(16)
Idle pumping horsepower, end of period (000s)	216	195	11
Total pumping horsepower, end of period (000s) <sup>(2)</sup>	410	425	(4)
Coiled tubing revenue per job (\$)	25,981	23,007	13
Number of coiled tubing jobs	304	334	(9)
Active coiled tubing units, end of period (#)	7	6	17
Idle coiled tubing units, end of period (#) <sup>(2)</sup>	6	12	(50)
Total coiled tubing units, end of period (#) <sup>(2)</sup>	13	18	(28)

<sup>(1)</sup> Refer to "Non-GAAP Measures" on pages 23 and 24 for further information.

<sup>(2)</sup> Reduction was the result of equipment that was identified as permanently impaired based on the impairment provision at December 31, 2015.

### REVENUE

Revenue from Calfrac's Canadian operations during the third quarter of 2016 was \$59.6 million versus \$121.5 million in the same period of 2015. The 51 percent decrease in revenue was primarily due to lower fracturing activity combined with lower pricing for the Company's fracturing services. In addition, wet weather across western Canada throughout most of the quarter contributed to the decrease in fracturing activity during the quarter. The number of fracturing jobs decreased by 42 percent while revenue per fracturing job decreased by 21 percent from the same period in the prior year as a result of lower pricing, offset partially by a change in the mix of completion treatments and greater service intensity. The number of coiled tubing jobs decreased by 9 percent from the third quarter in 2015 due to lower activity in the shallow oil plays of Saskatchewan, partially offset by increased activity in the Montney shale gas play in northern Alberta.

### OPERATING (LOSS) INCOME

The operating loss in Canada during the third quarter of 2016 was \$2.0 million compared to operating income of \$10.6 million in the same period of 2015. Operating costs were 45 percent lower than the comparable quarter of 2015 primarily due to the decline in activity combined with the impact of cost savings realized during the quarter, including cost savings associated with the closure of its Medicine Hat operating district at the beginning of March 2016. SG&A expenses decreased by 24 percent year-over-year primarily due to workforce reductions implemented earlier in the year.

## UNITED STATES

Three Months Ended September 30,	2016	2015	Change
<i>(C\$000s, except operational and exchange rate information)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(%)</i>
<i>(unaudited)</i>			
Revenue	<b>52,640</b>	93,142	(43)
Expenses			
Operating	<b>55,595</b>	94,290	(41)
SG&A	<b>3,043</b>	5,704	(47)
	<b>58,638</b>	99,994	(41)
Operating loss <sup>(1)</sup>	<b>(5,998)</b>	(6,852)	(12)
Operating loss (%)	<b>(11.4)</b>	(7.4)	54
Fracturing revenue per job (\$)	<b>34,815</b>	38,165	(9)
Number of fracturing jobs	<b>1,512</b>	2,222	(32)
Active pumping horsepower, end of period (000s)	<b>249</b>	336	(26)
Idle pumping horsepower, end of period (000s)	<b>362</b>	338	7
Total pumping horsepower, end of period (000s) <sup>(2)</sup>	<b>611</b>	674	(9)
Coiled tubing revenue per job (\$)	—	—	—
Number of coiled tubing jobs	—	—	—
Active coiled tubing units, end of period (#)	—	—	—
Idle coiled tubing units, end of period (#)	<b>5</b>	5	—
Total coiled tubing units, end of period (#)	<b>5</b>	5	—
Cementing revenue per job (\$)	—	54,869	(100)
Number of cementing jobs	—	152	(100)
Active cementing units, end of period (#)	—	15	(100)
Idle cementing units, end of period (#)	<b>11</b>	3	NM
Total cementing units, end of period (#) <sup>(2)</sup>	<b>11</b>	18	(39)
US\$/C\$ average exchange rate <sup>(3)</sup>	<b>1.3046</b>	1.3087	—

<sup>(1)</sup> Refer to "Non-GAAP Measures" on pages 23 and 24 for further information.

<sup>(2)</sup> Reduction was the result of equipment that was identified as permanently impaired based on the impairment provision at December 31, 2015.

<sup>(3)</sup> Source: Bank of Canada.

## REVENUE

Revenue from Calfrac's United States operations decreased to \$52.6 million during the third quarter of 2016 from \$93.1 million in the comparable quarter of 2015 due to significantly lower fracturing activity across most of the Company's operating regions, with the exception of Pennsylvania, as 32 percent fewer fracturing jobs were completed period-over-period. In the third quarter of 2016, the Company reactivated one fleet in North Dakota after temporarily shutting down operations during the second quarter of 2016 and added a second fracturing fleet in Pennsylvania in anticipation of improved future pricing and utilization. The Company also temporarily closed its south Texas operations and suspended all remaining cementing operations during the first quarter of 2016, which contributed to the year-over-year decline in revenue. Revenue per job was 9 percent lower year-over-year due to weaker pricing in all remaining operating regions offset partially by the completion of larger jobs in the Marcellus shale gas play in Pennsylvania.

## OPERATING LOSS

The Company's United States operations had an operating loss of \$6.0 million during the third quarter of 2016 compared to a loss of \$6.9 million in the same period in 2015. The third quarter loss, although consistent with the comparative quarter in 2015, was higher than expected primarily due to start-up costs associated with the deployment of additional fleets in North Dakota and Pennsylvania and the impact of less than full utilization of the reactivated fleets. However, Calfrac believes that the reactivation of the fleets has left the Company in a better position for future market growth. Calfrac also recorded a sales tax recovery of \$2.0 million in Pennsylvania during the third quarter of 2016 that offset a portion of the third-quarter operating loss. SG&A expenses decreased by 47 percent in the third quarter of 2016 from the prior year primarily due to workforce reductions since the end of the third quarter of 2015.

**RUSSIA**

Three Months Ended September 30,	2016	2015	Change
<i>(C\$000s, except operational and exchange rate information)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(%)</i>
<i>(unaudited)</i>			
Revenue	<b>26,303</b>	35,874	(27)
Expenses			
Operating	<b>21,586</b>	30,944	(30)
SG&A	<b>466</b>	597	(22)
	<b>22,052</b>	31,541	(30)
Operating income <sup>(1)</sup>	<b>4,251</b>	4,333	(2)
Operating income (%)	<b>16.2</b>	12.1	34
Fracturing revenue per job (\$)	<b>66,955</b>	97,935	(32)
Number of fracturing jobs	<b>307</b>	313	(2)
Pumping horsepower, end of period (000s)	<b>70</b>	70	—
Coiled tubing revenue per job (\$)	<b>44,211</b>	38,956	13
Number of coiled tubing jobs	<b>130</b>	134	(3)
Active coiled tubing units, end of period (#)	<b>6</b>	7	(14)
Idle coiled tubing units, end of period (#)	<b>1</b>	—	NM
Total coiled tubing units, end of period (#)	<b>7</b>	7	—
Rouble/C\$ average exchange rate <sup>(2)</sup>	<b>0.0202</b>	0.0208	(3)

<sup>(1)</sup> Refer to "Non-GAAP Measures" on pages 23 and 24 for further information.

<sup>(2)</sup> Source: Bank of Canada.

**REVENUE**

Revenue from Calfrac's Russian operations decreased by 27 percent during the third quarter of 2016 to \$26.3 million from \$35.9 million in the corresponding three-month period of 2015. The decline in revenue was largely attributable to the loss of an annual fracturing contract with a significant customer to which the Company also supplied proppant and the 3 percent devaluation of the Russian rouble in the third quarter of 2016 as compared to the same quarter of 2015. The decline in revenue was partially offset by callout activity and contracted activity with new customers. Revenue per fracturing job declined by 32 percent primarily due to the impact of no longer providing proppant to one of Calfrac's customers.

**OPERATING INCOME**

Operating income in Russia was \$4.3 million during the third quarter of 2016 consistent with the corresponding period of 2015. Operating income as a percentage of revenue was 16 percent compared to 12 percent in 2015 primarily due to the impact of not providing proppant to a major customer and a greater proportion of callout activity, which generated higher operating margins. SG&A expenses decreased by 22 percent in the third quarter of 2016 from the prior year's quarter primarily due to personnel reductions.

## LATIN AMERICA

Three Months Ended September 30,	2016	2015	Change
<i>(C\$000s, except operational and exchange rate information)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(%)</i>
<i>(unaudited)</i>			
Revenue	<b>36,405</b>	38,590	(6)
Expenses			
Operating	<b>35,636</b>	34,047	5
SG&A	<b>2,883</b>	3,777	(24)
	<b>38,519</b>	37,824	2
Operating (loss) income <sup>(1)</sup>	<b>(2,114)</b>	766	NM
Operating (loss) income (%)	<b>(5.8)</b>	2.0	NM
Pumping horsepower, end of period (000s)	<b>131</b>	118	11
Cementing units, end of period (#)	<b>14</b>	13	8
Coiled tubing units, end of period (#)	<b>7</b>	7	—
Mexican peso/C\$ average exchange rate <sup>(2)</sup>	<b>0.0696</b>	0.0796	(13)
Argentinean peso/C\$ average exchange rate <sup>(2)</sup>	<b>0.0873</b>	0.1415	(38)

<sup>(1)</sup> Refer to "Non-GAAP Measures" on pages 23 and 24 for further information.

<sup>(2)</sup> Source: Bank of Canada.

## REVENUE

Calfrac's Latin American operations generated total revenue of \$36.4 million during the third quarter of 2016 versus \$38.6 million in the comparable three-month period in 2015. Revenue in Argentina was \$3.0 million lower than the comparable quarter primarily due to lower fracturing and cementing activity resulting from a declining rig count and union strikes that negatively impacted customer activity combined with lower pricing. In Mexico, revenue increased slightly primarily due to higher coiled tubing activity.

## OPERATING (LOSS) INCOME

The Company's operations in Latin America incurred an operating loss of \$2.1 million during the third quarter of 2016 compared to operating income of \$0.8 million in the third quarter of 2015. This decrease was primarily due to lower equipment utilization and pricing in Argentina combined with severance costs of \$0.5 million recorded during the third quarter of 2016 due to workforce reductions.

## CORPORATE

Three Months Ended September 30,	2016	2015	Change
(C\$000s)	(\$)	(\$)	(%)
(unaudited)			
Expenses			
Operating	1,096	2,234	(51)
SG&A	5,407	3,868	40
	6,503	6,102	7
Operating loss <sup>(1)</sup>	(6,503)	(6,102)	7
% of Revenue	3.7	2.1	76

<sup>(1)</sup> Refer to "Non-GAAP Measures" on pages 23 and 24 for further information.

## OPERATING LOSS

Corporate expenses for the third quarter of 2016 increased by 7 percent compared to the third quarter of 2015. Operating expenses were 51 percent lower as a result of lower district personnel costs and consulting fees. SG&A expenditures were \$1.5 million higher, which resulted from a \$2.0 million increase in stock-based compensation expense due to a higher share price at the end of the quarter. Excluding stock-based compensation, the Company reduced SG&A expenses by \$0.5 million primarily through personnel reductions.

## DEPRECIATION

For the three months ended September 30, 2016, depreciation expense decreased by 17 percent to \$33.0 million from \$39.5 million in the corresponding quarter of 2015. The decrease was mainly a result of a \$114.5 million impairment of property, plant and equipment in the United States and Canada that was recorded in the fourth quarter of 2015.

## FOREIGN EXCHANGE GAINS AND LOSSES

The Company recorded a foreign exchange gain of \$0.1 million during the third quarter of 2016 versus a loss of \$1.8 million in the comparative three-month period of 2015. Foreign exchange gains and losses arise primarily from the translation of net monetary assets or liabilities that were held in U.S. dollars in Canada, Russia and Latin America.

## INTEREST

The Company's net interest expense of \$20.8 million for the third quarter of 2016 was \$2.9 million higher than in the comparable period of 2015. Interest on the \$200.0 million secured second lien term loan was the primary driver of the higher interest expense recorded during the period as it contributed to an increased debt level during the quarter and the interest rate on the loan was higher than the interest rate on the credit facility borrowings that were repaid.

## INCOME TAXES

The Company recorded an income tax recovery of \$24.4 million during the third quarter of 2016 compared to a recovery of \$9.4 million in the comparable period of 2015. The recovery position was the result of pre-tax losses incurred during the quarter in Canada, the United States and Argentina. The effective tax recovery rate was 37 percent during the third quarter of 2016 compared to a normalized effective tax recovery rate of 42 percent in the comparable quarter in 2015. The effective tax recovery rate in 2016 was lower primarily due to a greater proportion of the consolidated losses that were incurred in Canada compared to 2015.

## SUMMARY OF QUARTERLY RESULTS

Three Months Ended	Dec. 31,	Mar. 31,	Jun. 30,	Sep. 30,	Dec. 31,	Mar. 31,	Jun. 30,	Sep. 30,
	2014	2015	2015	2015	2015	2016	2016	2016
<i>(C\$000s, except per share and operating data)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>							
<i>(unaudited)</i>								
<b>Financial</b>								
Revenue	748,896	600,383	319,553	289,075	286,194	216,138	150,605	<b>174,925</b>
Operating income (loss) <sup>(1)</sup>	122,202	27,844	(7,022)	2,775	5,787	(11,623)	(15,898)	<b>(12,392)</b>
Per share – basic	1.29	0.29	(0.07)	0.03	0.06	(0.10)	(0.14)	<b>(0.11)</b>
Per share – diluted	1.28	0.29	(0.07)	0.03	0.06	(0.10)	(0.14)	<b>(0.11)</b>
Adjusted EBITDA <sup>(1)</sup>	121,731	25,609	(3,696)	7,211	22,933	(5,883)	(14,095)	<b>(11,055)</b>
Per share – basic	1.28	0.27	(0.04)	0.08	0.24	(0.05)	(0.12)	<b>(0.10)</b>
Per share – diluted	1.28	0.27	(0.04)	0.08	0.24	(0.05)	(0.12)	<b>(0.10)</b>
Net income (loss) attributable to the shareholders of Calfrac	26,470	(12,628)	(43,277)	(24,191)	(141,498)	(54,071)	(41,671)	<b>(40,862)</b>
Per share – basic	0.28	(0.13)	(0.45)	(0.25)	(1.45)	(0.47)	(0.36)	<b>(0.35)</b>
Per share – diluted	0.28	(0.13)	(0.45)	(0.25)	(1.45)	(0.47)	(0.36)	<b>(0.35)</b>
Capital expenditures	52,033	52,669	50,356	24,945	29,964	7,723	8,370	<b>6,907</b>
Working capital (end of period)	441,234	413,950	340,639	296,816	305,952	261,072	306,346	<b>269,081</b>
Total equity (end of period)	832,403	818,825	775,646	742,972	623,719	576,465	543,530	<b>501,926</b>

### Operating (end of period)

Active pumping horsepower (000s)	1,254	1,259	804	754	776	640	582	<b>644</b>
Idle pumping horsepower (000s) <sup>(2)</sup>	—	—	455	533	524	586	640	<b>578</b>
Total pumping horsepower (000s) <sup>(2)</sup>	1,254	1,259	1,259	1,287	1,300	1,226	1,222	<b>1,222</b>
Active coiled tubing units (#)	36	37	20	20	20	18	19	<b>20</b>
Idle coiled tubing units (#)	—	—	17	17	17	14	13	<b>12</b>
Total coiled tubing units (#)	36	37	37	37	37	32	32	<b>32</b>
Active cementing units (#)	31	31	26	28	23	14	14	<b>14</b>
Idle cementing units (#)	—	—	5	3	8	11	11	<b>11</b>
Total cementing units (#)	31	31	31	31	31	25	25	<b>25</b>

<sup>(1)</sup> Refer to “Non-GAAP Measures” on pages 23 and 24 for further information.

<sup>(2)</sup> Excludes 92,500 pumping horsepower that had not been commissioned at September 30, 2016.

## SEASONALITY OF OPERATIONS

The Company’s North American business is seasonal. The lowest activity is typically experienced during the second quarter of the year when road weight restrictions are in place due to spring break-up weather conditions and access to well sites in Canada and North Dakota is reduced (refer to “Business Risks – Seasonality” in the 2015 Annual Report).

## FOREIGN EXCHANGE FLUCTUATIONS

The Company’s consolidated financial statements are reported in Canadian dollars. Accordingly, the quarterly results are directly affected by fluctuations in the exchange rates for United States, Russian, Mexican and Argentinean currency (refer to “Business Risks – Fluctuations in Foreign Exchange Rates” in the 2015 Annual Report).

## FINANCIAL OVERVIEW – NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 VERSUS 2015

### CANADA

Nine Months Ended September 30,	2016	2015	Change
<i>(C\$000s, except operational information)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(%)</i>
<i>(unaudited)</i>			
Revenue	<b>177,686</b>	409,761	(57)
Expenses			
Operating	<b>178,300</b>	377,983	(53)
SG&A	<b>5,844</b>	7,015	(17)
	<b>184,144</b>	384,998	(52)
Operating (loss) income <sup>(1)</sup>	<b>(6,458)</b>	24,763	NM
Operating (loss) income (%)	<b>(3.6)</b>	6.0	NM
Fracturing revenue per job (\$)	<b>23,340</b>	33,961	(31)
Number of fracturing jobs	<b>6,799</b>	11,387	(40)
Active pumping horsepower, end of period (000s)	<b>194</b>	230	(16)
Idle pumping horsepower, end of period (000s)	<b>216</b>	195	11
Total pumping horsepower, end of period (000s) <sup>(2)</sup>	<b>410</b>	425	(4)
Coiled tubing revenue per job (\$)	<b>24,142</b>	23,545	3
Number of coiled tubing jobs	<b>787</b>	979	(20)
Active coiled tubing units, end of period (#)	<b>7</b>	6	17
Idle coiled tubing units, end of period (#) <sup>(2)</sup>	<b>6</b>	12	(50)
Total coiled tubing units, end of period (#) <sup>(2)</sup>	<b>13</b>	18	(28)

<sup>(1)</sup> Refer to "Non-GAAP Measures" on pages 23 and 24 for further information.

<sup>(2)</sup> Reduction was the result of equipment that was identified as permanently impaired based on the impairment provision at December 31, 2015.

### REVENUE

Revenue from Calfrac's Canadian operations during the first nine months of 2016 was \$177.7 million versus \$409.8 million in the same period of 2015. The decrease was primarily due to significantly lower demand for the Company's fracturing and coiled tubing services combined with lower pricing and job mix. Revenue per fracturing job decreased by 31 percent from the prior year primarily due to lower pricing and job mix offset partially by greater service intensity. Coiled tubing activity decreased by 20 percent from the prior year and also contributed to the decrease in revenue.

### OPERATING (LOSS) INCOME

The Company's Canadian division incurred an operating loss of \$6.5 million during the first nine months of 2016 compared to operating income of \$24.8 million in the comparable period in 2015. The reversal to a loss position was the result of significantly lower pricing and utilization partially offset by cost reductions for proppant, third-party subcontractors and chemicals. SG&A expenses during the first nine months of 2015 included a recovery of \$1.1 million related to 2014 annual bonus expenses. Excluding this amount, SG&A expenses declined by 28 percent year-over-year, primarily due to workforce reductions and a lower compensation structure.

## UNITED STATES

Nine Months Ended September 30,	2016	2015	Change
<i>(C\$000s, except operational and exchange rate information)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(%)</i>
<i>(unaudited)</i>			
Revenue	<b>176,677</b>	570,744	(69)
Expenses			
Operating	<b>183,831</b>	549,649	(67)
SG&A	<b>11,905</b>	17,269	(31)
	<b>195,736</b>	566,918	(65)
Operating (loss) income <sup>(1)</sup>	<b>(19,059)</b>	3,826	NM
Operating (loss) income (%)	<b>(10.8)</b>	0.7	NM
Fracturing revenue per job (\$)	<b>32,162</b>	52,117	(38)
Number of fracturing jobs	<b>5,442</b>	10,448	(48)
Active pumping horsepower, end of period (000s)	<b>249</b>	336	(26)
Idle pumping horsepower, end of period (000s)	<b>362</b>	338	7
Total pumping horsepower, end of period (000s) <sup>(2)</sup>	<b>611</b>	674	(9)
Coiled tubing revenue per job (\$)	—	51,750	(100)
Number of coiled tubing jobs	—	55	(100)
Active coiled tubing units, end of period (#)	—	—	—
Idle coiled tubing units, end of period (#)	<b>5</b>	5	—
Total coiled tubing units, end of period (#)	<b>5</b>	5	—
Cementing revenue per job (\$)	<b>150,293</b>	48,113	NM
Number of cementing jobs	<b>11</b>	486	(98)
Active cementing units, end of period (#)	—	15	(100)
Idle cementing units, end of period (#)	<b>11</b>	3	NM
Total cementing units, end of period (#) <sup>(2)</sup>	<b>11</b>	18	(39)
US\$/C\$ average exchange rate <sup>(3)</sup>	<b>1.3228</b>	1.2600	5

<sup>(1)</sup> Refer to "Non-GAAP Measures" on pages 23 and 24 for further information.

<sup>(2)</sup> Reduction was the result of equipment that was identified as permanently impaired based on the impairment provision at December 31, 2015.

<sup>(3)</sup> Source: Bank of Canada.

## REVENUE

Revenue from Calfrac's United States operations decreased to \$176.7 million during the first nine months of 2016 from \$570.7 million in the same period of 2015 due to significantly lower fracturing activity combined with customer mix and weaker pricing. The number of fracturing jobs completed during the period decreased by 48 percent from the comparable period in 2015, primarily due to lower activity in Pennsylvania, and the Rockies, combined with the impact of the temporary closure of operations in North Dakota and south Texas and the closure of operations in Arkansas. During the third quarter of 2016, the Company recommenced fracturing operations in North Dakota which partially offset the decline in revenue. Revenue per job decreased by 38 percent year-over-year as a stronger U.S. dollar was more than offset by the completion of significantly smaller jobs in Pennsylvania due to customer mix during the first half of 2016 and the impact of lower pricing in all operating regions.

## OPERATING (LOSS) INCOME

The Company's United States division operated at a loss of \$19.1 million during the first nine months of 2016 after generating operating income of \$3.8 million in the same period in 2015. The loss included restructuring costs totaling \$3.1 million (2015 - Nil) that related to organizational changes that were carried out across the United States division during 2016 and \$0.5 million in bad debt charges. Excluding these one-time costs, the operating loss would have been \$15.5 million, primarily due to decreased utilization in all of the resource plays where the Company operates combined with lower pricing. The suspension of cementing operations in Pennsylvania combined with the temporary closure of operations in North Dakota and south Texas, and the closure of operations in Arkansas, had a negative impact on operating income year-over-year due to a significantly lower revenue base during the period while these operating districts continued to incur certain levels of fixed costs.

**RUSSIA**

Nine Months Ended September 30,	2016	2015	Change
<i>(C\$000s, except operational and exchange rate information)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(%)</i>
<i>(unaudited)</i>			
Revenue	<b>71,459</b>	105,234	(32)
Expenses			
Operating	<b>61,612</b>	92,041	(33)
SG&A	<b>1,778</b>	2,682	(34)
	<b>63,390</b>	94,723	(33)
Operating income <sup>(1)</sup>	<b>8,069</b>	10,511	(23)
Operating income (%)	<b>11.3</b>	10.0	13
Fracturing revenue per job (\$)	<b>68,048</b>	88,574	(23)
Number of fracturing jobs	<b>831</b>	996	(17)
Pumping horsepower, end of period (000s)	<b>70</b>	70	—
Coiled tubing revenue per job (\$)	<b>40,411</b>	43,184	(6)
Number of coiled tubing jobs	<b>369</b>	394	(6)
Active coiled tubing units, end of period (#)	<b>6</b>	7	(14)
Idle coiled tubing units, end of period (#)	<b>1</b>	—	NM
Total coiled tubing units, end of period (#)	<b>7</b>	7	—
Rouble/C\$ average exchange rate <sup>(2)</sup>	<b>0.0194</b>	0.0213	(9)

<sup>(1)</sup> Refer to "Non-GAAP Measures" on pages 23 and 24 for further information.

<sup>(2)</sup> Source: Bank of Canada.

**REVENUE**

During the first nine months of 2016, revenue from Calfrac's Russian operations decreased by 32 percent to \$71.5 million from \$105.2 million in the corresponding nine-month period of 2015. The decrease in revenue, which is generated in roubles, was partially related to lower fracturing and coiled tubing activity combined with the 9 percent devaluation of the rouble in 2016 versus 2015. The decline in revenue was also the result of the loss of a fracturing contract with a significant customer to which the Company also supplied proppant. Revenue per fracturing job declined by 23 percent due to the currency devaluation combined with the impact of no longer providing proppant to a significant customer.

**OPERATING INCOME**

Operating income in Russia declined to \$8.1 million during the first nine months of 2016 from \$10.5 million in the same period in 2015 primarily due to the 9 percent devaluation of the rouble combined with lower fracturing and coiled tubing utilization. Operating income as a percent of revenue increased slightly from 10 percent to 11 percent due to higher margin callout work comprising a greater proportion of overall activity and reflects margins resulting from not providing sand to one of its customers. SG&A expenses declined by 34 percent during the first nine months of 2016 from the comparable period in 2015 due to the devaluation of the rouble combined with the impact of cost reduction initiatives.

**LATIN AMERICA**

Nine Months Ended September 30,	2016	2015	Change
<i>(C\$000s, except operational and exchange rate information)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(%)</i>
<i>(unaudited)</i>			
Revenue	<b>115,846</b>	123,272	(6)
Expenses			
Operating	<b>102,015</b>	102,836	(1)
SG&A	<b>13,485</b>	11,171	21
	<b>115,500</b>	114,007	1
Operating income <sup>(1)</sup>	<b>346</b>	9,265	(96)
Operating income (%)	<b>0.3</b>	7.5	(96)
Pumping horsepower, end of period (000s)	<b>131</b>	118	11
Cementing units, end of period (#)	<b>14</b>	13	8
Coiled tubing units, end of period (#)	<b>7</b>	7	—
Mexican peso/C\$ average exchange rate <sup>(2)</sup>	<b>0.0723</b>	0.0810	(11)
Argentinean peso/C\$ average exchange rate <sup>(2)</sup>	<b>0.0912</b>	0.1406	(35)

<sup>(1)</sup> Refer to "Non-GAAP Measures" on pages 23 and 24 for further information.

<sup>(2)</sup> Source: Bank of Canada.

**REVENUE**

Calfrac's Latin American operations generated total revenue of \$115.8 million during the first nine months in 2016 versus \$123.3 million in the comparable period in 2015. In the first nine months of 2016, revenue in Argentina was lower than the comparable period primarily due to lower fracturing and cementing activity resulting from the rig count decline combined with adverse weather conditions in the Neuquén region during the second quarter. The Company also experienced pricing pressure from certain multinational competitors, which contributed to the reduction in revenue during the period. In Mexico, revenue increased slightly due to higher activity in the early part of the year.

**OPERATING INCOME**

Operating income in Latin America during the first nine months of 2016 was \$0.3 million compared to \$9.3 million during same period in 2015. Operating income was lower primarily due to the Company recording a bad debt provision of \$4.6 million relating to work performed for a customer in Mexico and severance costs of \$1.0 million in Argentina during the first nine months of 2016. Lower equipment utilization and pricing in Argentina also contributed to the reduction in operating income year-over-year.

## CORPORATE

Nine Months Ended September 30,	2016	2015	Change
(C\$000s)	(\$)	(\$)	(%)
(unaudited)			
Expenses			
Operating	3,633	5,103	(29)
SG&A	19,178	19,665	(2)
	22,811	24,768	(8)
Operating loss <sup>(1)</sup>	(22,811)	(24,768)	(8)
% of Revenue	4.2	2.0	NM

<sup>(1)</sup> Refer to "Non-GAAP Measures" on pages 23 and 24 for further information.

## OPERATING LOSS

The Company achieved an 8 percent decline in corporate expenses for the first nine months of 2016 compared to the same period in 2015. The Company continued to reduce costs to align its cost structure with anticipated activity levels. These initiatives contributed approximately \$5.6 million to the overall decrease in corporate expenses primarily by reducing corporate personnel costs. An increase in stock-based compensation expense of \$3.7 million, resulting from an increase in the Company's stock price, partially offset the cost reductions achieved during the period.

## DEPRECIATION

For the nine months ended September 30, 2016, depreciation expense decreased by 14 percent to \$99.6 million from \$116.4 million in the same period in 2015. The decrease was mainly a result of a \$114.5 million impairment of property, plant and equipment in the United States and Canada that was recorded in the fourth quarter of 2015, offset partially by a weaker Canadian dollar relative to the U.S. dollar on a comparative period basis.

## FOREIGN EXCHANGE LOSSES

The Company recorded a foreign exchange loss of \$19.6 million during the first nine months of 2016 versus a loss of \$3.5 million in the comparable nine-month period of 2015. Foreign exchange gains and losses arise primarily from the translation of net monetary assets or liabilities that were held in U.S. dollars in Canada, Russia and Latin America. The Company's foreign exchange loss during the period was largely attributable to the translation of U.S. dollar-denominated liabilities held in Argentina as the value of the Argentinean peso depreciated 17 percent against the U.S. dollar during the first nine months of 2016. The foreign exchange loss was also the result of U.S. dollar-denominated assets held in Canada as the U.S. dollar depreciated against the Canadian dollar during the period.

## INTEREST

The Company's net interest expense of \$58.0 million for the first nine months of 2016 was \$7.3 million higher than in the comparable period of 2015 primarily due to higher average credit facility borrowings during the first half of 2016. Interest on the \$200.0 million secured second lien term loan that was put in place in the second quarter of 2016 also contributed to the higher interest expense recorded during the period as it resulted in a higher debt level and the interest rate on the loan was higher than the interest rate on the credit facility borrowings that were repaid using the proceeds from the term loan financing. In addition, interest on U.S. dollar-denominated debt was higher due to a weaker Canadian dollar relative to the U.S. dollar.

## INCOME TAXES

The Company recorded an income tax recovery of \$77.4 million for the first nine months of 2016 compared to \$42.1 million in the comparable period in 2015. The recovery was the result of pre-tax losses incurred during the period in Canada, the United States and Argentina. The effective tax recovery rate was 36 percent during the first nine months in 2016 compared to 38 percent in the comparable period in 2015.

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

	Three Months Ended Sept. 30,		Nine Months Ended Sept. 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
<i>(C\$000s)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>
<i>(unaudited)</i>				
Cash provided by (used in):				
Operating activities	<b>(25,874)</b>	34,559	<b>(39,492)</b>	91,874
Financing activities	<b>(6,780)</b>	(9,901)	<b>69,156</b>	(21,474)
Investing activities	<b>(8,429)</b>	(40,857)	<b>(36,409)</b>	(137,379)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	<b>1,416</b>	5,194	<b>(10,679)</b>	22,701
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents	<b>(39,667)</b>	(11,005)	<b>(17,424)</b>	(44,278)

### OPERATING ACTIVITIES

The Company's cash used by operating activities for the three months ended September 30, 2016 was \$25.9 million versus cash provided by operating activities of \$34.6 million in the comparable period in 2015. The decrease was primarily due to lower operating margins driven by lower utilization and pricing in Canada and the United States. In addition, working capital shifted from a net source of cash in 2015 to a net use of cash in the third quarter of 2016. At September 30, 2016, Calfrac's working capital was approximately \$269.1 million compared to \$306.0 million at December 31, 2015.

### FINANCING ACTIVITIES

Net cash used by financing activities for the three months ended September 30, 2016 was \$6.8 million compared to \$9.9 million in the comparable period in 2015. During the three months ended September 30, 2016, the Company reduced its bank loan in Argentina by \$6.1 million, paid down borrowings under its term loan by \$0.5 million and made mortgage and lease payments of \$0.2 million.

On June 10, 2016, the Company closed a \$200.0 million second lien senior secured term loan financing with Alberta Investment Management Corporation (AIMCo). The term loan matures on September 30, 2020 and bears interest at the rate of 9 percent annually. In addition, amortization payments equal to 1 percent of the original principal amount are payable annually in equal quarterly installments, with the balance due on the maturity date. In conjunction with the funding of the term loan, a total of 6,934,776 warrants to purchase common shares of the Company were issued to AIMCo, entitling it to acquire 6,934,776 common shares at a price of \$4.14 per common share at any time prior to June 10, 2019. No amendments were made to the available commitment, term, covenants or interest rates payable under Calfrac's existing credit facilities as part of the required approvals for the term loan.

The Company's credit facilities mature on September 27, 2018 and can be extended by one or more years at the Company's request and lenders' acceptance. The Company also may prepay principal without penalty.

On December 11, 2015, Calfrac amended its credit facilities to provide increased financial flexibility. The amendment included a voluntary reduction in the total facility from \$400.0 million to \$300.0 million. The facilities consist of an operating facility of \$30.0 million and a syndicated facility of \$270.0 million. The interest rates are based on the parameters of certain bank covenants. For prime-based loans and U.S. base-rate loans, the rate ranges from prime or U.S. base rate plus 0.50 percent to prime plus 3.50 percent. For LIBOR-based loans and bankers' acceptance-based loans, the margin thereon ranges from 1.50 percent to 4.50 percent above the respective base rates. The facility was amended to increase the \$100.0 million accordion feature to \$200.0 million. The accordion feature is not available to the Company during the covenant relief period described below and ending on December 31, 2017 and during this period the Company will incur interest at the high end of the ranges outlined above. Additionally, for the quarters ended June 30, 2016 through December 31, 2017, advances under the credit facilities will be limited by a borrowing base. The borrowing base is calculated based on the following:

- i. Eligible North American accounts receivable, which is based on 75 percent of accounts receivable owing by companies rated BB+ or lower by Standard & Poor's (or a similar rating agency) and 85 percent of accounts receivable from companies rated BBB- or higher;
- ii. 100 percent of unencumbered cash of the parent company and its U.S. operating subsidiary, excluding any cash held in a segregated account for the purposes of a potential equity cure; and

- iii. 25 percent of the net book value of property, plant and equipment (PP&E) of the parent company and its U.S. operating subsidiary. The value of PP&E excludes assets under construction and is limited to \$150.0 million.

As at September 30, 2016, the Company had used \$1.9 million of its credit facilities for letters of credit and had no borrowings under its credit facilities, leaving \$298.1 million in available liquidity under its credit facilities. As described above, the Company's credit facilities are subject to a monthly borrowing base test, which could result in a lower liquidity amount.

The Company's credit facilities contain certain financial covenants. Weakened market conditions attributable to the significant reduction in the price of oil and natural gas have required many oil and gas service companies to seek covenant relief from their lenders. Calfrac negotiated amendments including waivers and increases to certain of its financial covenant thresholds prior to the end of the fourth quarter in 2015, as shown below.

Years ended December 31, except as indicated in notes below	2016	2017
Working capital ratio not to fall below	<b>1.15x</b>	1.15x
Funded Debt to Adjusted EBITDA not to exceed <sup>(1)(2)(3)</sup>	<b>5.00x</b>	4.50x/4.00x
Funded Debt to Capitalization not to exceed <sup>(2)(4)</sup>	<b>0.30x</b>	0.30x

<sup>(1)</sup> Funded Debt to Adjusted EBITDA covenant is 5.00x for September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2016. The covenant declines to 4.50x for the quarters ended March 31, 2017 and June 30, 2017 and declines to 4.00x for the quarters ended September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2017 and is set at 3.00x for each quarter after December 31, 2017.

<sup>(2)</sup> Funded Debt is defined as Total Debt excluding all outstanding senior unsecured notes and the second lien senior secured term loan facility. Total Debt includes bank loans and long-term debt (before unamortized debt issuance costs and debt discount) plus outstanding letters of credit less cash on hand with lenders (excluding any cash held in a segregated account for the purposes of a potential equity cure).

<sup>(3)</sup> Adjusted EBITDA is defined as net income or loss for the period less interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, non-cash stock-based compensation, non-controlling interest relating to Colombia, and gains and losses that are extraordinary or non-recurring.

<sup>(4)</sup> Capitalization is Total Debt plus Equity attributable to the shareholders of Calfrac.

Proceeds from equity offerings may be applied, as an equity cure, in the calculation of Adjusted EBITDA towards the Funded Debt to Adjusted EBITDA covenant for any of the quarters ending prior to and including December 31, 2017 subject to certain conditions including:

- i. the Company is only permitted to use the proceeds of a common share issuance to increase Adjusted EBITDA a maximum of two times;
- ii. the Company cannot use the proceeds of a common share issuance to increase Adjusted EBITDA in consecutive quarter ends;
- iii. the maximum proceeds of each common share issuance permitted to be attributed to Adjusted EBITDA cannot exceed the greater of 50 percent of Adjusted EBITDA on a trailing four-quarter basis and \$25.0 million; and
- iv. if proceeds are not used immediately as an equity cure they must be held in a segregated trust account pending an election to use them for such purpose, and if they are removed from such account but not used as an equity cure they will no longer be eligible for such use.

On December 22, 2015, Calfrac closed a bought deal private placement of 20,370,370 common shares for net proceeds of approximately \$25.2 million. The net proceeds of this offering are being held in a segregated account in accordance with the amended credit facilities pending an election to use them as an equity cure. Such an election may be made by Calfrac at any time prior to the completion of quarterly financial statements and the delivery of the covenant calculations for the relevant quarter to the lending syndicate. Throughout the period ending on December 31, 2017, amounts used as an equity cure will increase Adjusted EBITDA over the relevant twelve-month rolling period and will also serve to reduce Funded Debt. When the funds are removed from the segregated account, as an equity cure or otherwise, they are expected to be used to fund capital expenditures, to reduce outstanding indebtedness, and/or to be used for general working capital and corporate purposes.

As shown in the table below, at September 30, 2016, the Company was in compliance with the financial covenants associated with its credit facilities.

	Covenant	Actual
	2016	2016
As at September 30,		
Working capital ratio not to fall below	1.15x	<b>3.30x</b>
Funded Debt to Adjusted EBITDA not to exceed	5.00x	<b>N/A<sup>(1)</sup></b>
Funded Debt to Capitalization not to exceed	0.30x	<b>-0.05x</b>

<sup>(1)</sup> Funded Debt is negative at September 30, 2016.

The indenture governing the senior unsecured notes, which is available on SEDAR, contains restrictions on the Company's ability to pay dividends, purchase and redeem shares of the Company and make certain restricted investments, that are not defined as Permitted Investments under the indenture, in circumstances where:

- i. the Company is in default under the indenture or the making of such payment would result in a default;
- ii. the Company is not meeting the Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio<sup>(1)</sup> under the indenture of at least 2.0:1 for the most recent four fiscal quarters, with the restricted payments regime commencing once internal financial statements are available which show that the ratio is not met on a pro forma basis for the most recently ended four fiscal quarter period; or
- iii. there is insufficient room for such payment within a builder basket included in the indenture.

<sup>(1)</sup> The Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio is defined as cash flow to interest expense. Cash flow is a non-GAAP measure and does not have a standardized meaning under IFRS and is defined under the indenture as net income (loss) attributable to the shareholders of Calfrac before depreciation, extraordinary gains or losses, unrealized foreign exchange gains or losses, gains or losses on disposal of property, plant and equipment, impairment of assets, restructuring charges, provision for settlement of litigation, stock-based compensation, interest, and income taxes.

These limitations on restricted payments are tempered by the existence of a number of exceptions to the general prohibition, including a basket allowing for restricted payments in an aggregate amount of up to US\$20.0 million. As at September 30, 2016 this basket was not utilized. The indenture also restricts the ability to incur additional indebtedness if the Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio determined on a pro forma basis for the most recently ended four fiscal quarter period for which internal financial statements are available is not at least 2.0:1. As is the case with restricted payments, there are a number of exceptions to this prohibition on the incurrence of additional indebtedness, including the incurrence of additional debt under credit facilities up to the greater of \$175.0 million or 30 percent of the Company's consolidated tangible assets. At September 30, 2016, the Company was able to incur additional indebtedness in excess of \$380 million pursuant to the aforementioned exception.

As at September 30, 2016, the Company's Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio of (0.01):1 was less than the required 2.0:1 ratio. Failing to meet the Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio is not an event of default under the indenture, and the baskets highlighted in the preceding paragraph provide sufficient flexibility for the Company to incur additional indebtedness and make anticipated restricted payments which may be required to conduct its operations during this period of weakened market conditions.

## INVESTING ACTIVITIES

Calfrac's net cash used for investing activities was \$8.4 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2016 versus \$40.9 million in 2015. Cash outflows relating to capital expenditures were \$9.0 million during the third quarter of 2016 compared to \$32.8 million in 2015. Capital expenditures were primarily to support the Company's Canadian fracturing operations.

Calfrac's 2016 capital budget is approximately \$10.0 million. In addition, carryover capital expenditures are expected to be approximately \$30.0 million, resulting in total spending of approximately \$40.0 million throughout 2016.

## EFFECT OF EXCHANGE RATE CHANGES ON CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

The effect of changes in foreign exchange rates on the Company's cash and cash equivalents during the quarter ended September 30, 2016 was a gain of \$1.4 million versus a gain of \$5.2 million during 2015. These gains relate to cash and cash equivalents held by the Company in a foreign currency.

With its working capital position, available credit facilities and anticipated funds provided by operations, the Company expects to have adequate resources to fund its financial obligations and planned capital expenditures for 2016 and beyond.

At September 30, 2016, the Company had cash and cash equivalents of \$106.6 million of which \$25.0 million is held in a segregated account at the Company's discretion, so that it may be utilized if required in the calculation of Adjusted EBITDA for purposes of the Company's bank covenants.

## **OUTSTANDING SHARE DATA**

The Company is authorized to issue an unlimited number of common shares. Employees have been granted options to purchase common shares under the Company's shareholder-approved stock option plan. The number of shares reserved for issuance under the stock option plan is equal to 10 percent of the Company's issued and outstanding common shares. As at October 21, 2016, there were 115,579,598 common shares issued and outstanding, and 7,691,075 options to purchase common shares.

## **OUTLOOK**

With crude oil prices hovering around US\$50.00 per barrel and natural gas futures prices trending around US\$3.00 per Mcf through next year, the Company expects North American completion activity to improve over the course of the fourth quarter and into 2017. Preliminary drilling and completion capital budgets for 2017 indicate that year-over-year spending in both Canada and the United States will be higher than 2016. As a result, Calfrac anticipates pressure pumping demand to increase in North America which, in turn, is expected to lead to higher pricing for the Company's services in 2017.

## **CANADA**

The Company experienced increased demand for its pressure pumping services during the latter half of the third quarter with the majority of activity focused in the Montney and Saskatchewan light oil plays. Calfrac expects completion activity to improve from third quarter levels and anticipates full utilization of its active fleets throughout the fourth quarter. However, the Company has experienced weather-related delays in Saskatchewan during October. While Calfrac has yet to realize any material increases in pricing, the Company expects the pricing environment to begin to improve in the fourth quarter given the current high levels of demand for its services and believes that this momentum will continue into the first quarter of 2017.

Visibility for 2017 remains somewhat limited given that capital spending plans have yet to be confirmed, but initial customer indications coupled with the recent improvement in commodity prices and strong activity expected through the end of 2016, leads Calfrac to believe that first quarter 2017 activity will be strong. Calfrac continues to believe that labor will be the most prominent constraint as activity continues to increase.

## **UNITED STATES**

Calfrac reactivated equipment in North Dakota and Pennsylvania in the third quarter in anticipation of a market recovery and in order to align the Company with customers that are expected to meaningfully increase activity levels in 2017. While Calfrac expects its financial performance in the United States to improve in the fourth quarter, some of the operational challenges experienced in the third quarter are anticipated to persist. Utilization is expected to be somewhat inconsistent over the coming months, however, Calfrac believes that completions activity in the United States will increase in early 2017. The Company's active horsepower count at the end of the third quarter is at the appropriate level at this time to position it for a market recovery and Calfrac does not expect to reactivate additional equipment until there is a meaningful improvement in pricing.

## **RUSSIA**

Activity in Russia continues to be consistent with 2015 and we expect this trend to continue into the fourth quarter, with the exception of the impact of normal winter weather operating conditions. We are currently in the early stages of the 2017 contract tender process with our customers and at this stage we expect utilization and pricing to be comparable to 2016 levels.

## **LATIN AMERICA**

The current rig count in Argentina is approximately 30 percent below the end of last year which has resulted in a decrease in demand for Calfrac's services. In addition, pricing pressure has continued to increase across the Company's operations with certain multinational competitors attempting to gain market share. While Calfrac does not anticipate further pricing adjustments to its existing contracts, the Company does expect lower pricing for new contract tenders. Overall, increased activity from certain gas-focused customers combined with the impact of cost reduction measures that were implemented during the third quarter is anticipated to drive improved financial results in the fourth quarter and continuing into 2017. However, Calfrac has experienced delays due to weather in the Neuquén area in late October with heavy rainfall and flooding.

In Mexico, activity across all regions remains low due to continued delays in Pemex's budget process. While activity is expected to modestly increase in 2017, the Company is expecting very minimal activity in the fourth quarter. Calfrac's focus is to continue to proactively manage its cost structure to generate breakeven margins in Mexico.

## SUMMARY

Overall, it appears that the global oil supply/demand balance is tightening, which is expected to have a positive impact on North American pressure pumping fundamentals. While the timing and magnitude of any recovery remains unclear, it is generally anticipated that pricing dynamics are poised to become more positive by year end. Calfrac continues to evaluate alternatives available for it to decrease its debt levels and improve its capital structure, and the Company's cash position, fully funded equity cure and undrawn facility provide Calfrac with the flexibility to assess such measures with the benefits associated with any market recovery going forward.

## NON-GAAP MEASURES

Certain supplementary measures presented in this MD&A do not have any standardized meaning under IFRS and, because IFRS have been incorporated as Canadian generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), these supplementary measures are also non-GAAP measures. These measures have been described and presented in order to provide shareholders and potential investors with additional information regarding the Company's financial results, liquidity and ability to generate funds to finance its operations. These measures may not be comparable to similar measures presented by other entities, and are explained below.

Operating income (loss) is defined as net income (loss) before depreciation, foreign exchange gains or losses, gains or losses on disposal of property, plant and equipment, expenses and gain related to business combinations, impairment of goodwill, interest, and income taxes. Management believes that operating income is a useful supplemental measure as it provides an indication of the financial results generated by Calfrac's business segments prior to consideration of how these segments are financed or taxed. Operating income for the period was calculated as follows:

	Three Months Ended Sept. 30,		Nine Months Ended Sept. 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
<i>(C\$000s)</i>				
<i>(unaudited)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>
Net loss	<b>(42,169)</b>	(25,045)	<b>(140,201)</b>	(81,790)
Add back (deduct):				
Depreciation	<b>32,952</b>	39,476	<b>99,550</b>	116,384
Foreign exchange (gains) losses	<b>(127)</b>	1,808	<b>19,575</b>	3,485
Loss (gain) on disposal of property, plant and equipment	<b>583</b>	(471)	<b>520</b>	(1,614)
Business combination	—	(30,987)	—	(30,987)
Impairment of goodwill	—	9,544	—	9,544
Interest	<b>20,802</b>	17,872	<b>58,026</b>	50,678
Income taxes	<b>(24,433)</b>	(9,422)	<b>(77,383)</b>	(42,103)
Operating (loss) income	<b>(12,392)</b>	2,775	<b>(39,913)</b>	23,597

Adjusted EBITDA is defined in the Company's credit facilities for covenant purposes as net income or loss for the period adjusted for interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, non-cash stock-based compensation, non-controlling interest relating to Colombia, and gains and losses that are extraordinary or non-recurring. Adjusted EBITDA is presented because it is used in the calculation of the Company's bank covenants. Adjusted EBITDA for the period was calculated as follows:

	Three Months Ended Sept. 30,		Nine Months Ended Sept. 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
(C\$000s)			(\$)	(\$)
(unaudited)				
Net loss	<b>(42,169)</b>	(25,045)	<b>(140,201)</b>	(81,790)
Add back (deduct):				
Depreciation	<b>32,952</b>	39,476	<b>99,550</b>	116,384
Unrealized foreign exchange losses	<b>20</b>	3,670	<b>22,327</b>	4,815
Loss (gain) on disposal of property, plant and equipment	<b>583</b>	(471)	<b>520</b>	(1,614)
Business combination	—	(30,987)	—	(30,987)
Impairment of goodwill	—	9,544	—	9,544
Restructuring charges	<b>514</b>	1,665	<b>4,417</b>	1,665
Stock-based compensation	<b>674</b>	856	<b>1,697</b>	2,297
Losses attributable to non-controlling interest <sup>(1)</sup>	<b>2</b>	53	<b>14</b>	235
Interest	<b>20,802</b>	17,872	<b>58,026</b>	50,678
Income taxes	<b>(24,433)</b>	(9,422)	<b>(77,383)</b>	(42,103)
Adjusted EBITDA	<b>(11,055)</b>	7,211	<b>(31,033)</b>	29,124

<sup>(1)</sup> The definition of Adjusted EBITDA was amended in June 2015 to include non-controlling interest related to Argentina and has been applied prospectively.

## CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS AND CONTINGENCIES

Calfrac has various contractual lease commitments related to vehicles, equipment and facilities as well as purchase obligations for products, services and property, plant and equipment as disclosed in the Company's 2015 annual consolidated financial statements.

## GREEK LITIGATION

As described in note 15 to the interim consolidated financial statements, the Company and one of its Greek subsidiaries are involved in a number of legal proceedings in Greece. Management regularly evaluates the likelihood of potential liabilities being incurred and the amounts of such liabilities after careful examination of available information and discussions with its legal advisors. Management is of the view that it is improbable there will be a material financial impact to the Company as a result of these claims. Consequently, no provision was recorded in the consolidated financial statements.

## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

This MD&A is based on the Company's consolidated financial statements for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 which were prepared in accordance with IFRS. Management is required to make assumptions, judgments and estimates in the application of IFRS. Calfrac's significant accounting policies are described in note 2 to the annual consolidated financial statements.

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements requires that certain estimates and judgments be made concerning the reported amount of revenue and expenses and the carrying values of assets and liabilities. These estimates are based on historical experience and management's judgment. The estimation of anticipated future events involves uncertainty and, consequently, the estimates used by management in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements may change as future events unfold, additional experience is gained or the environment in which the Company operates changes. The accounting policies and practices requiring estimates that have a significant impact on the Company's financial results include the allowance for doubtful accounts receivable, depreciation, the fair value of financial instruments, the carrying value of goodwill, impairment of property, plant and equipment, income taxes, stock-based compensation expenses, functional currency and cash-generating units.

Judgment is also used in the determination of the functional currency of each subsidiary and in the determination of cash-generating units.

## ALLOWANCE FOR DOUBTFUL ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers and grants credit based on a review of historical collection experience, current aging status, financial condition of the customer and anticipated industry conditions. Customer payments

are regularly monitored and a provision for doubtful accounts is established based on specific situations and overall industry conditions. In situations where the creditworthiness of a customer is uncertain, services are typically provided on receipt of cash in advance or services are declined. Calfrac's management believes that the provision for doubtful accounts receivable, which was \$7.4 million at September 30, 2016, is adequate.

## **DEPRECIATION**

Depreciation of the Company's property, plant and equipment incorporates estimates of useful lives and residual values. These estimates may change as more experience is obtained or as general market conditions change, thereby affecting the value of the Company's property, plant and equipment.

## **FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS**

Financial instruments included in the Company's consolidated balance sheets are cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, deposits, accounts payable and accrued liabilities, bank loans, long-term debt and finance lease obligations.

## **FAIR VALUES OF FINANCIAL ASSETS AND LIABILITIES**

The fair values of financial instruments included in the consolidated balance sheets, except long-term debt, approximate their carrying amounts due to the short-term maturity of those instruments. The fair value of the senior unsecured notes based on the closing market price at September 30, 2016 was \$519.4 million before deduction of unamortized debt issuance costs (December 31, 2015 – \$336.3 million). The carrying value of the senior unsecured notes at September 30, 2016 was \$787.0 million before deduction of unamortized debt issuance costs and debt discount (December 31, 2015 – \$830.4 million). The fair values of the remaining long-term debt and finance lease obligations approximate their carrying values, as described in note 4 to the interim consolidated financial statements.

## **IMPAIRMENT**

Assessment of impairment is based on management's judgment of whether there are internal and external factors that would indicate that an asset or CGU is impaired.

As described in note 4 to the annual consolidated financial statements, the impact of the continued decline in oil and natural gas prices on the Company's current and future financial results combined with the oversupply of pressure pumping equipment in North America were indicators of impairment during the fourth quarter of 2015, and the Company estimated the recoverable amount of its property, plant and equipment.

A comparison of the recoverable amounts of each CGU with their respective carrying amounts resulted in an impairment charge with respect to property, plant and equipment of \$114.5 million during the fourth quarter of 2015. There were no further triggers or indications of impairment that warranted an assessment of impairment of the Company's property, plant and equipment during the three months ended September 30, 2016.

## **INCOME TAXES**

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. The realizability of deferred income tax assets is an estimate and requires judgments to be made by management. Estimates of the Company's future taxable income are considered in assessing the utilization of available tax losses. The Company's business is complex and the calculation of income taxes involves many complex factors as well as the Company's interpretation of relevant tax legislation and regulations.

## **STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION**

The fair value of stock options is estimated at the grant date using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, which includes underlying assumptions related to the risk-free interest rate, average expected option life, estimated forfeitures, estimated volatility of the Company's shares and anticipated dividends.

The fair value of the deferred share units, performance share units and restricted share units is recognized based on the market value of the Company's shares underlying these compensation programs.

## **FUNCTIONAL CURRENCY**

Management applies judgment in determining the functional currency of its foreign subsidiaries. Judgment is made with regard to the currency that influences and determines sales prices, labour, material and other costs as well as financing and receipts from operating income.

## CASH-GENERATING UNITS

The determination of CGUs is based on management's judgment regarding shared equipment, mobility of equipment, geographical proximity and materiality.

## RELATED-PARTY TRANSACTIONS

In November 2010, the Company lent a senior officer \$2.5 million to purchase common shares of the Company on the TSX. The loan is on a non-recourse basis and is secured by the common shares acquired with the loan proceeds. The loan was amended in February 2015 to extend the term by five years to November 8, 2020 and change the interest rate to the prescribed rate under the Income Tax Act (Canada), which rate was 1.00 percent per annum at the time of the amendment. The market value of the shares that secure the loan was approximately \$0.5 million as at September 30, 2016 (December 31, 2015 – \$0.4 million). In accordance with applicable accounting standards regarding share purchase loans receivable, this loan is classified as a reduction of shareholders' equity due to its non-recourse nature. In addition, the shares purchased with the loan proceeds are considered to be, in substance, stock options.

The Company leases certain premises from an entity controlled by one of its directors. The rent charged for these premises during the nine months ended September 30, 2016 was \$1.1 million (nine months ended September 30, 2015 – \$0.8 million), as measured at the exchange amount.

## CHANGES IN ACCOUNTING POLICIES

No new IFRS or interpretations from the International Financial Reporting Interpretations Committee came into effect for the year beginning on or after January 1, 2016 that had a material impact on the Company.

## RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Unless otherwise noted, the following revised standards and amendments are effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2016 with earlier application permitted. The Company is still evaluating the effect these standards will have on its consolidated financial statements:

In January 2016, the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) issued IFRS 16 *Leases*, which requires lessees to recognize all leases on the balance sheet. IFRS 16 is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2019 with earlier application permitted for companies that also apply IFRS 15 *Revenue from Contracts with Customers*.

In May 2014, the IASB issued IFRS 15 *Revenue from Contracts with Customers*, which replaces IAS 18 *Revenue*, IAS 11 *Construction Contracts*, and related interpretations. The standard is required to be adopted either retrospectively or using a modified transition approach for fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 2017, with earlier adoption permitted. IFRS 15 will come into effect for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2018.

The IASB completed the final elements of IFRS 9 *Financial Instruments*. The Standard supersedes earlier versions of IFRS 9 and completes the IASB's project to replace IAS 39 *Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement*. IFRS 9, as amended, includes a principle-based approach for classification and measurement of financial assets, a single 'expected loss' impairment model and a substantially reformed approach to hedge accounting. IFRS 9 will come into effect for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2018, with earlier adoption permitted.

## INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the interim period ended September 30, 2016 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

## BUSINESS RISKS

The business of Calfrac is subject to certain risks and uncertainties. Prior to making any investment decision regarding Calfrac, investors should carefully consider, among other things, the risk factors set forth in the Company's most recently filed Annual Information Form, which are specifically incorporated by reference herein.

The Annual Information Form is available through the Internet on the Canadian System for Electronic Document Analysis and Retrieval (SEDAR), which can be accessed at [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com). Copies of the Annual Information Form may also be obtained on request without charge from Calfrac at 411 – 8th Avenue S.W., Calgary, Alberta, Canada, T2P 1E3, or at [www.calfrac.com](http://www.calfrac.com), or by facsimile at 403-266-7381.

## ADVISORIES

### FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

In order to provide Calfrac shareholders and potential investors with information regarding the Company and its subsidiaries, including management's assessment of Calfrac's plans and future operations, certain statements contained in this MD&A, including statements that contain words such as "seek", "anticipate", "plan", "continue", "estimate", "expect", "may", "will", "project", "predict", "potential", "targeting", "intend", "could", "might", "should", "believe", "forecast" or similar words suggesting future outcomes, are forward-looking statements.

In particular, forward-looking statements in this MD&A include, but are not limited to, statements with respect to expected operating strategies and targets, capital expenditure programs, future financial resources, use of funds held in the Company's segregated bank account (as an equity cure or otherwise), anticipated equipment utilization levels, future oil and natural gas well activity in each of the Company's operating jurisdictions, results of acquisitions, the impact of environmental regulations and economic reforms and sanctions on the Company's business, future costs or potential liabilities, projections of market prices and costs, supply and demand for oilfield services, expectations regarding the Company's ability to maintain its competitive position, anticipated benefits of the Company's competitive position, expectations regarding the Company's financing activities and restrictions including with regard to its credit agreement and the indenture pursuant to which its senior notes were issued and its ability to raise capital, treatment under government regulatory regimes, commodity prices, anticipated outcomes of specific events (including exposure under existing legal proceedings), expectations regarding trends in, and the growth prospects of, the global oil and natural gas industry, the Company's growth strategy and prospects, and the impact of changes in accounting policies and standards on the Company and its financial statements. These statements are derived from certain assumptions and analyses made by the Company based on its experience and perception of historical trends, current conditions, expected future developments and other factors that it believes are appropriate in the circumstances, including, but not limited to, the economic and political environment in which the Company operates, the Company's expectations for its current and prospective customers' capital budgets and geographical areas of focus, the Company's existing contracts and the status of current negotiations with key customers and suppliers, the focus of the Company's customers on increasing the use of 24-hour operations in North America, the effectiveness of cost reduction measures instituted by the Company, the effect unconventional gas projects have had on supply and demand fundamentals for natural gas and the likelihood that the current tax and regulatory regime will remain substantially unchanged.

Forward-looking statements are subject to a number of known and unknown risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from the Company's expectations. Such risk factors include: excess oilfield equipment levels; regional competition; the availability of capital on satisfactory terms; restrictions resulting from compliance with debt covenants and risk of acceleration of indebtedness; direct and indirect exposure to volatile credit markets, including credit rating risk; currency exchange rate risk; risks associated with foreign operations; operating restrictions and compliance costs associated with legislative and regulatory initiatives relating to hydraulic fracturing and the protection of workers and the environment; changes in legislation and the regulatory environment; dependence on, and concentration of, major customers; liabilities and risks, including environmental liabilities and risks, inherent in oil and natural gas operations; uncertainties in weather and temperature affecting the duration of the service periods and the activities that can be completed; liabilities and risks associated with prior operations; failure to maintain the Company's safety standards and record; failure to realize anticipated benefits of acquisitions and dispositions; the ability to integrate technological advances and match advances from competitors; intellectual property risks; sourcing, pricing and availability of raw materials, component parts, equipment, suppliers, facilities and skilled personnel; and the effect of accounting pronouncements issued periodically. Further information about these and other risks and uncertainties may be found under "Business Risks" above.

Consequently, all of the forward-looking statements made in this MD&A are qualified by these cautionary statements and there can be no assurance that actual results or developments anticipated by the Company will be realized, or that they will have the expected consequences or effects on the Company or its business or operations. These statements speak only as of the respective date of this MD&A or the document incorporated by reference herein. The Company assumes no obligation to update publicly any such forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required pursuant to applicable securities laws.

### ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Further information regarding Calfrac Well Services Ltd., including the most recently filed Annual Information Form, can be accessed on the Company's website at [www.calfrac.com](http://www.calfrac.com) or under the Company's public filings found at [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com).

**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

As at	September 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
<i>(C\$000s) (unaudited)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents (note 3)	106,581	124,005
Accounts receivable	150,309	221,995
Income taxes recoverable	4,285	3,540
Inventories	112,383	127,622
Prepaid expenses and deposits	16,756	18,017
	<b>390,314</b>	495,179
Non-current assets		
Property, plant and equipment	1,173,862	1,301,272
Deferred income tax assets	54,787	19,372
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>1,618,963</b>	1,815,823
<b>LIABILITIES AND EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	118,331	172,633
Bank loans	—	15,633
Current portion of long-term debt (note 4)	2,505	523
Current portion of finance lease obligations	397	438
	<b>121,233</b>	189,227
Non-current liabilities		
Long-term debt (note 4)	964,897	927,270
Finance lease obligations	—	382
Deferred income tax liabilities	30,907	75,225
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>1,117,037</b>	1,192,104
Equity attributable to the shareholders of Calfrac		
Capital stock (note 5)	409,809	409,809
Contributed surplus (note 7)	35,376	27,849
Loan receivable for purchase of common shares (note 12)	(2,500)	(2,500)
Retained earnings	76,822	213,426
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(10,335)	(21,054)
	<b>509,172</b>	627,530
Non-controlling interest	(7,246)	(3,811)
<b>Total equity</b>	<b>501,926</b>	623,719
<b>Total liabilities and equity</b>	<b>1,618,963</b>	1,815,823

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Three Months Ended Sept. 30,		Nine Months Ended Sept. 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
<i>(C\$000s, except per share data) (unaudited)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>
Revenue	<b>174,925</b>	289,075	<b>541,668</b>	1,209,011
Cost of sales (note 13)	<b>206,530</b>	309,275	<b>628,940</b>	1,243,995
Gross loss	<b>(31,605)</b>	(20,200)	<b>(87,272)</b>	(34,984)
Expenses				
Selling, general and administrative	<b>13,739</b>	16,501	<b>52,191</b>	57,803
Foreign exchange (gains) losses	<b>(127)</b>	1,808	<b>19,575</b>	3,485
Loss (gain) on disposal of property, plant and equipment	<b>583</b>	(471)	<b>520</b>	(1,614)
Business combination	—	(30,987)	—	(30,987)
Impairment of goodwill	—	9,544	—	9,544
Interest	<b>20,802</b>	17,872	<b>58,026</b>	50,678
	<b>34,997</b>	14,267	<b>130,312</b>	88,909
Loss before income tax	<b>(66,602)</b>	(34,467)	<b>(217,584)</b>	(123,893)
Income tax expense (recovery)				
Current	<b>494</b>	7	<b>1,946</b>	1,893
Deferred	<b>(24,927)</b>	(9,429)	<b>(79,329)</b>	(43,996)
	<b>(24,433)</b>	(9,422)	<b>(77,383)</b>	(42,103)
Net loss	<b>(42,169)</b>	(25,045)	<b>(140,201)</b>	(81,790)
Net loss attributable to:				
Shareholders of Calfrac	<b>(40,862)</b>	(24,191)	<b>(136,604)</b>	(80,096)
Non-controlling interest	<b>(1,307)</b>	(854)	<b>(3,597)</b>	(1,694)
	<b>(42,169)</b>	(25,045)	<b>(140,201)</b>	(81,790)
Loss per share (note 5)				
Basic	<b>(0.35)</b>	(0.25)	<b>(1.18)</b>	(0.84)
Diluted	<b>(0.35)</b>	(0.25)	<b>(1.18)</b>	(0.84)

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE (LOSS) INCOME

	Three Months Ended Sept. 30,		Nine Months Ended Sept. 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
<i>(C\$000s) (unaudited)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>
<b>Net loss</b>	<b>(42,169)</b>	<b>(25,045)</b>	<b>(140,201)</b>	<b>(81,790)</b>
<b>Other comprehensive income (loss)</b>				
<b>Items that may be subsequently reclassified to profit or loss:</b>				
Change in foreign currency translation adjustment	<b>(109)</b>	<b>(6,091)</b>	<b>10,881</b>	<b>5,000</b>
<b>Comprehensive loss</b>	<b>(42,278)</b>	<b>(31,136)</b>	<b>(129,320)</b>	<b>(76,790)</b>
<b>Comprehensive loss attributable to:</b>				
Shareholders of Calfrac	<b>(40,833)</b>	<b>(30,227)</b>	<b>(125,885)</b>	<b>(75,014)</b>
Non-controlling interest	<b>(1,445)</b>	<b>(909)</b>	<b>(3,435)</b>	<b>(1,776)</b>
	<b>(42,278)</b>	<b>(31,136)</b>	<b>(129,320)</b>	<b>(76,790)</b>

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY

	Equity Attributable to the Shareholders of Calfrac							
	Share Capital	Contributed Surplus	Loan Receivable for Purchase of Common Shares	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Retained Earnings	Total	Non-Controlling Interest	Total Equity
<i>(C\$000s) (unaudited)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>
<b>Balance – Jan. 1, 2016</b>	<b>409,809</b>	<b>27,849</b>	<b>(2,500)</b>	<b>(21,054)</b>	<b>213,426</b>	<b>627,530</b>	<b>(3,811)</b>	<b>623,719</b>
Net loss	—	—	—	—	(136,604)	(136,604)	(3,597)	(140,201)
Other comprehensive income:								
Cumulative translation adjustment	—	—	—	10,719	—	10,719	162	10,881
Comprehensive income (loss)	—	—	—	10,719	(136,604)	(125,885)	(3,435)	(129,320)
Warrants:								
Fair value of warrants issued (note 4)	—	5,830	—	—	—	5,830	—	5,830
Stock options:								
Stock-based compensation recognized	—	1,697	—	—	—	1,697	—	1,697
<b>Balance – Sept. 30, 2016</b>	<b>409,809</b>	<b>35,376</b>	<b>(2,500)</b>	<b>(10,335)</b>	<b>76,822</b>	<b>509,172</b>	<b>(7,246)</b>	<b>501,926</b>
Balance – Jan. 1, 2015	377,975	24,767	(2,500)	(26,757)	459,891	833,376	(973)	832,403
Net loss	—	—	—	—	(80,096)	(80,096)	(1,694)	(81,790)
Other comprehensive income (loss):								
Cumulative translation adjustment	—	—	—	5,082	—	5,082	(82)	5,000
Comprehensive income (loss)	—	—	—	5,082	(80,096)	(75,014)	(1,776)	(76,790)
Stock options:								
Stock-based compensation recognized	—	2,297	—	—	—	2,297	—	2,297
Dividend Reinvestment Plan shares issued	12,733	—	—	—	—	12,733	—	12,733
Dividends	—	—	—	—	(18,257)	(18,257)	—	(18,257)
Shares purchased under NCIB (note 6)	(6,093)	—	—	—	(3,321)	(9,414)	—	(9,414)
<b>Balance – Sept. 30, 2015</b>	<b>384,615</b>	<b>27,064</b>	<b>(2,500)</b>	<b>(21,675)</b>	<b>358,217</b>	<b>745,721</b>	<b>(2,749)</b>	<b>742,972</b>

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Three Months Ended Sept. 30,		Nine Months Ended Sept. 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
<i>(C\$000s) (unaudited)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>
<b>CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY (USED IN)</b>				
<b>OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>				
Net loss	<b>(42,169)</b>	(25,045)	<b>(140,201)</b>	(81,790)
Adjusted for the following:				
Depreciation	<b>32,952</b>	39,476	<b>99,550</b>	116,384
Stock-based compensation	<b>674</b>	856	<b>1,697</b>	2,297
Unrealized foreign exchange losses	<b>20</b>	3,670	<b>22,327</b>	4,815
Loss (gain) on disposal of property, plant and equipment	<b>583</b>	(471)	<b>520</b>	(1,614)
Gain on business combination	—	(31,965)	—	(31,965)
Impairment of goodwill	—	9,544	—	9,544
Interest	<b>20,802</b>	17,872	<b>58,026</b>	50,678
Deferred income taxes	<b>(24,927)</b>	(9,429)	<b>(79,329)</b>	(43,996)
Interest paid	<b>(4,817)</b>	(2,040)	<b>(39,385)</b>	(33,648)
Changes in items of working capital (note 10)	<b>(8,992)</b>	32,091	<b>37,303</b>	101,169
Cash flows (used in) provided by operating activities	<b>(25,874)</b>	34,559	<b>(39,492)</b>	91,874
<b>FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>				
Bank loan proceeds	—	3,072	<b>4,977</b>	16,841
Issuance of long-term debt, net of debt issuance costs	<b>(3)</b>	(40)	<b>214,897</b>	(573)
Bank loan repayments	<b>(6,054)</b>	(4,229)	<b>(17,712)</b>	(10,164)
Long-term debt repayments	<b>(624)</b>	(122)	<b>(130,919)</b>	(387)
Finance lease obligation repayments	<b>(99)</b>	(122)	<b>(281)</b>	(347)
Shares purchased under NCIB (note 6)	—	(3,894)	—	(9,414)
Dividends paid, net of DRIP (note 18)	—	(4,566)	<b>(1,806)</b>	(17,430)
Cash flows (used in) provided by financing activities	<b>(6,780)</b>	(9,901)	<b>69,156</b>	(21,474)
<b>INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>				
Purchase of property, plant and equipment (note 10)	<b>(9,014)</b>	(32,751)	<b>(39,623)</b>	(139,403)
Proceeds on disposal of property, plant and equipment	<b>585</b>	1,392	<b>3,214</b>	11,522
Business combination	—	(9,498)	—	(9,498)
Cash flows used in investing activities	<b>(8,429)</b>	(40,857)	<b>(36,409)</b>	(137,379)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	<b>1,416</b>	5,194	<b>(10,679)</b>	22,701
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents	<b>(39,667)</b>	(11,005)	<b>(17,424)</b>	(44,278)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	<b>146,248</b>	65,856	<b>124,005</b>	99,129
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period (note 3)	<b>106,581</b>	54,851	<b>106,581</b>	54,851

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

## NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

As at and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015

(Amounts in text and tables are in thousands of Canadian dollars, except share data and certain other exceptions as indicated) (unaudited)

### 1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Calfrac Well Services Ltd. (the “Company”) was formed through the amalgamation of Calfrac Well Services Ltd. (predecessor company originally incorporated on June 28, 1999) and Denison Energy Inc. (“Denison”) on March 24, 2004 under the Business Corporations Act (Alberta). The registered office is at 411 - 8th Avenue S.W., Calgary, Alberta, Canada, T2P 1E3. The Company provides specialized oilfield services, including hydraulic fracturing, coiled tubing, cementing and other well completion services to the oil and natural gas industries in Canada, the United States, Russia, Mexico and Argentina.

These condensed consolidated interim financial statements were prepared in accordance with International Accounting Standard (IAS) 34 *Interim Financial Reporting* using accounting policies consistent with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) and interpretations by the International Financial Reporting Interpretations Committee (IFRIC). They should be read in conjunction with the annual financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2015. The Company has consistently applied the same accounting policies throughout all periods presented, as if these policies were always in effect.

These financial statements were approved by the Board of Directors for issuance on October 26, 2016.

### 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

These condensed consolidated interim financial statements follow the same accounting policies and methods of application as the most recent annual financial statements.

For purposes of calculating income taxes during interim periods, the Company utilizes estimated annualized income tax rates. Current income tax expense is only recognized when taxable income is such that current income taxes becomes payable.

### 3. CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

On December 22, 2015, the Company received net proceeds of \$25,194 from a private placement offering of common shares, as described in note 5. \$25,000 of the net proceeds are currently held in a segregated account and these funds are available for use at the Company’s discretion, and this amount can be transferred, in whole or in part, to its operating bank account at any time. The Company can also elect to use the proceeds as a reduction of Funded Debt as well as include in the calculation of EBITDA for purposes of the Company’s Funded Debt to EBITDA bank covenant.

### 4. LONG-TERM DEBT

	September 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
As at	<b>2016</b>	2015
(C\$000s)	(\$)	(\$)
US\$600,000 senior unsecured notes due December 1, 2020, bearing interest at 7.50% payable semi-annually	<b>787,020</b>	830,400
\$200,000 second lien senior secured term loan facility due September 30, 2020, bearing interest at 9% payable quarterly, secured by the Canadian and U.S. assets of the Company on a second priority basis	<b>199,500</b>	—
\$270,000 extendible revolving term loan facility, secured by Canadian and U.S. assets of the Company	—	110,000
Less: unamortized debt issuance costs	<b>(19,925)</b>	(13,894)
	<b>966,595</b>	926,506
US\$616 mortgage maturing May 2018 bearing interest at U.S. prime less 1%, repayable at US\$33 per month principal and interest, secured by certain real property	<b>807</b>	1,287
	<b>967,402</b>	927,793
Less: current portion of long-term debt	<b>(2,505)</b>	(523)
	<b>964,897</b>	927,270

The fair value of the senior unsecured notes, as measured based on the closing quoted market price at September 30, 2016, was \$519,433 (December 31, 2015 – \$336,312). The carrying values of the mortgage obligation, bank loans, revolving term

loan facilities and the second lien term loan approximate their fair values as the interest rates are not significantly different from current interest rates for similar loans.

On June 10, 2016, the Company entered into a \$200,000 second lien senior secured term loan facility. The term loan matures on September 30, 2020, and bears interest at 9% per annum, payable quarterly. Amortization payments equal to 1% of the original principal amount are payable annually, in equal quarterly installments, with the balance due on the final maturity date. The proceeds from the term loan were made available in a single draw, and amounts borrowed under the term loan that are repaid or prepaid are not available for re-borrowing. The term loan is secured by the Canadian and U.S. assets of the Company on a second priority basis, subordinate only to the revolving term loan facility.

In conjunction with the second lien senior secured term loan facility, 6,934,776 warrants to purchase common shares of the Company were issued, entitling the holder to acquire up to 6,934,776 common shares at a price of \$4.14 per common share. The warrants expire on June 10, 2019 and can be exercised at any time prior to such date. The fair value of the warrants issued was estimated using a Black-Scholes pricing model, in the amount of \$5,830 and accounted for as a deferred finance cost. To date, no warrants have been exercised.

The interest rate on the \$270,000 revolving term loan facility is based on the parameters of certain bank covenants. For prime-based loans, the rate ranges from prime plus 0.50 percent to prime plus 3.50 percent. For LIBOR-based loans and bankers' acceptance-based loans the margin thereon ranges from 1.50 percent to 4.50 percent above the respective base rates for such loans. The facility is repayable on or before its maturity of September 27, 2018, assuming it is not extended. The maturity may be extended by one or more years at the Company's request and lenders' acceptance. The Company may also prepay principal without penalty. Debt issuance costs related to this facility are amortized over its term.

Interest on long-term debt (including the amortization of debt issuance costs and debt discount) for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 was \$55,825 (nine months ended September 30, 2015 – \$47,364).

The Company also has an extendible operating loan facility, which includes overdraft protection in the amount of \$30,000. The interest rate is based on the parameters of certain bank covenants in the same fashion as the revolving term facility. Drawdowns under this facility are repayable on September 27, 2018, assuming the facility is not extended. The term and commencement of principal repayments may be extended by one year on each anniversary at the Company's request and lenders' acceptance. The revolving term loan and operating facilities are secured by the Company's Canadian and U.S. assets.

At September 30, 2016, the Company had utilized \$1,867 of its loan facility for letters of credit and had \$nil outstanding under its revolving term loan facility, leaving \$298,133 in available credit, subject to a monthly borrowing base test, which could result in a lower amount of available credit.

See note 11 for further details on the covenants in respect of the Company's long-term debt.

## 5. CAPITAL STOCK

Authorized capital stock consists of an unlimited number of common shares.

	Nine Months Ended		Year Ended	
	September 30, 2016		December 31, 2015	
Continuity of Common Shares	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount
	(#)	(\$000s)	(#)	(\$000s)
Balance, beginning of period	115,579,598	409,809	95,252,559	377,975
Dividend Reinvestment Plan shares issued (note 18)	—	—	1,474,379	12,733
Shares purchased under NCIB (note 6)	—	—	(1,517,700)	(6,093)
Shares from private placement	—	—	20,370,370	25,194
Shares cancelled	—	—	(10)	—
Balance, end of period	115,579,598	409,809	115,579,598	409,809

The weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the three months ended September 30, 2016 was 115,410,398 basic and 116,554,975 diluted (three months ended September 30, 2015 – 95,523,078 basic and 95,692,278 diluted). The weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 was 115,410,398

basic and 115,609,802 diluted (nine months ended September 30, 2015 – 95,453,017 basic and 95,622,217 diluted). The difference between basic and diluted shares is attributable to the dilutive effect of stock options issued by the Company as disclosed in note 8.

On December 22, 2015, the Company closed a bought deal private placement of 20,370,370 common shares for total gross proceeds of \$27,500. Share issuance costs for the transaction were \$2,306, resulting in net proceeds of \$25,194.

During 2015, ten common shares were returned to the Company for cancellation. For accounting purposes, the cancellation of these shares was recorded as a reduction of capital stock in the amount of forty dollars, along with a corresponding increase to contributed surplus.

## 6. NORMAL COURSE ISSUER BID

The Company received regulatory approval to purchase its own common shares in accordance with a Normal Course Issuer Bid (NCIB) for the one-year period December 17, 2014 through December 16, 2015. During the nine months ended September 30, 2015, 1,517,700 common shares were purchased at a cost of \$9,414 and, of the amount paid, \$6,093 was charged to capital stock and \$3,321 to retained earnings. These common shares were cancelled prior to September 30, 2015.

## 7. CONTRIBUTED SURPLUS

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016	Year Ended December 31, 2015
Continuity of Contributed Surplus		
<i>(C\$000s)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>
Balance, beginning of period	<b>27,849</b>	24,767
Stock options expensed	<b>1,697</b>	3,082
Fair value of warrants issued (note 4)	<b>5,830</b>	—
Balance, end of period	<b>35,376</b>	27,849

## 8. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

### (a) Stock Options

Nine Months Ended Sept. 30,	2016		2015	
	Options	Average Exercise Price	Options	Average Exercise Price
	(#)	(\$)	(#)	(\$)
Continuity of Stock Options				
Balance, January 1	8,229,947	7.81	4,269,050	14.76
Granted during the period	267,500	1.45	1,665,750	9.69
Forfeited	(773,649)	10.97	(369,126)	13.68
Expired	(62,000)	16.83	(61,250)	11.10
Balance, September 30	7,661,798	7.19	5,504,424	13.34

Stock options vest equally over four years and expire five years from the date of grant. The exercise price of outstanding options range from \$1.34 to \$20.81 with a weighted average remaining life of 3.15 years. When stock options are exercised, the proceeds together with the compensation expense previously recorded in contributed surplus, are added to capital stock.

### (b) Share Units

Nine Months Ended Sept. 30,	2016			2015		
	Deferred Share Units	Performance Share Units	Restricted Share Units	Deferred Share Units	Performance Share Units	Restricted Share Units
	(#)	(#)	(#)	(#)	(#)	(#)
Continuity of Stock Units						
Balance, January 1	72,500	238,995	812,828	70,000	120,000	1,346,642
Granted during the period	145,000	500,000	2,349,750	72,500	178,995	979,507
Exercised	(72,500)	—	—	(70,000)	(60,000)	(614,464)
Forfeited	—	(99,665)	(409,888)	—	—	(146,963)
Balance, September 30	145,000	639,330	2,752,690	72,500	238,995	1,564,722

	Three Months Ended Sept. 30,		Nine Months Ended Sept. 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Expense (recovery) from:				
Stock options	674	856	1,697	2,297
Deferred share units	39	(112)	320	136
Performance share units	11	(332)	396	57
Restricted share units	(19)	(1,705)	1,610	(2,213)
Total stock-based compensation expense	705	(1,293)	4,023	277

Stock-based compensation expense is included in selling, general and administrative expenses.

The Company grants deferred share units to its outside directors. These units vest in November of the year of grant and are settled either in cash (equal to the market value of the underlying shares at the time of exercise) or in Company shares purchased on the open market. The fair value of the deferred share units is recognized equally over the vesting period, based on the current market price of the Company's shares. At September 30, 2016, the liability pertaining to deferred share units was \$320 (December 31, 2015 – \$143).

The Company grants performance share units to a senior officer. The amount of the grants earned is linked to corporate performance and the grants vest on the approval of the Board of Directors at the meeting held to approve the consolidated financial statements for the year in respect of which performance is being evaluated. As with the deferred share units,

performance share units are settled either in cash or Company shares purchased on the open market. At September 30, 2016, the liability pertaining to performance share units was \$769 (December 31, 2015 – \$373).

The Company grants restricted share units to its employees. These units vest over three years and are settled either in cash (equal to the market value of the underlying shares at the time of exercise) or in Company shares purchased on the open market. The fair value of the restricted share units is recognized over the vesting period, based on the current market price of the Company's shares. At September 30, 2016, the liability pertaining to restricted share units was \$2,549 (December 31, 2015 – \$939).

Changes in the Company's obligations under the deferred, performance and restricted share unit plans, which arise from fluctuations in the market value of the Company's shares underlying these compensation programs, are recorded as the share value changes.

## 9. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The Company's financial instruments included in the consolidated balance sheets are comprised of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, deposits, accounts payable and accrued liabilities, bank loans, long-term debt and finance lease obligations.

The fair values of financial instruments included in the consolidated balance sheets, except long-term debt, approximate their carrying amounts due to the short-term maturity of those instruments. The fair value of the senior unsecured notes based on the closing market price at September 30, 2016 was \$519,433 before deduction of unamortized debt issuance costs (December 31, 2015 – \$336,312). The carrying value of the senior unsecured notes at September 30, 2016 was \$787,020 before deduction of unamortized debt issuance costs and debt discount (December 31, 2015 – \$830,400). The fair values of the remaining long-term debt approximate their carrying values, as described in note 4.

## 10. SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Changes in non-cash operating assets and liabilities are as follows:

	Three Months Ended Sept. 30,		Nine Months Ended Sept. 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
<i>(C\$000s)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>
Accounts receivable	<b>(22,786)</b>	27,129	<b>71,687</b>	280,137
Inventory	<b>522</b>	20,314	<b>15,239</b>	30,852
Prepaid expenses and deposits	<b>583</b>	(6,756)	<b>1,261</b>	(8,687)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	<b>12,186</b>	(6,515)	<b>(50,139)</b>	(198,628)
Income taxes recoverable	<b>503</b>	(2,380)	<b>(745)</b>	(3,115)
Other long-term liabilities	—	299	—	610
	<b>(8,992)</b>	32,091	<b>37,303</b>	101,169

Purchase of property, plant and equipment is comprised of:

	Three Months Ended Sept. 30,		Nine Months Ended Sept. 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
<i>(C\$000s)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>
Property, plant and equipment additions	<b>(6,907)</b>	(24,945)	<b>(22,999)</b>	(127,970)
Change in liabilities related to purchase of property, plant and equipment	<b>(2,107)</b>	(7,806)	<b>(16,624)</b>	(11,433)
	<b>(9,014)</b>	(32,751)	<b>(39,623)</b>	(139,403)

## 11. CAPITAL STRUCTURE

The Company's capital structure is comprised of shareholders' equity and debt. The Company's objectives in managing capital are (i) to maintain flexibility so as to preserve its access to capital markets and its ability to meet its financial obligations, and (ii) to finance growth, including potential acquisitions.

The Company manages its capital structure and makes adjustments in light of changing market conditions and new opportunities, while remaining cognizant of the cyclical nature of the oilfield services sector. To maintain or adjust its capital structure, the Company may revise its capital spending, adjust dividends paid to shareholders, issue new shares or new debt or repay existing debt.

The Company monitors its capital structure and financing requirements using, amongst other parameters, the ratio of net debt to operating income. Operating income for this purpose is calculated on a 12-month trailing basis and is defined as follows:

For the Twelve Months Ended	September 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
<i>(C\$000s)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>
Net loss	<b>(285,837)</b>	(227,426)
Adjusted for the following:		
Depreciation	<b>139,804</b>	156,638
Foreign exchange losses	<b>53,115</b>	37,025
Gain on disposal of property, plant and equipment	<b>(123)</b>	(2,257)
Business combination	—	(30,987)
Impairment of property, plant and equipment	<b>114,479</b>	114,479
Impairment of inventory	<b>14,333</b>	14,333
Impairment of goodwill	—	9,544
Provision for settlement of litigation	<b>3,165</b>	3,165
Interest	<b>76,315</b>	68,967
Income taxes	<b>(149,377)</b>	(114,097)
Operating (loss) income	<b>(34,126)</b>	29,384

Net debt for this purpose is calculated as follows:

	September 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
<i>(C\$000s)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>
Long-term debt, net of debt issuance costs and debt discount (note 4)	<b>967,402</b>	927,793
Bank loans	—	15,633
Finance lease obligation	<b>397</b>	820
Less: cash and cash equivalents	<b>(106,581)</b>	(124,005)
Net debt	<b>861,218</b>	820,241

The ratio of net debt to operating income does not have a standardized meaning under IFRS and may not be comparable to similar measures used by other companies.

At September 30, 2016, the net debt to operating income ratio was (25.24):1 (December 31, 2015 – 27.91:1) calculated on a 12-month trailing basis as follows:

	September 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
<i>(C\$000s, except ratio)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>
Net debt	<b>861,218</b>	820,241
Operating (loss) income	<b>(34,126)</b>	29,384
Net debt to operating income ratio	<b>(25.24):1</b>	27.91:1

The Company's net debt to operating income ratio of (25.24):1 reflects the fact that the Company incurred an operating loss for the twelve months ended September 30, 2016.

The Company is subject to certain financial covenants relating to working capital, leverage and the generation of cash flow in respect of its operating and revolving credit facilities. These covenants are monitored on a monthly basis. Prior to the end of the fourth quarter of 2015, the Company negotiated amendments including waivers and increases to certain of its financial covenant thresholds pertaining to its credit facilities, as shown below. At September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the Company was in compliance with its covenants with respect to its credit facilities.

Quarters Ended	2015	2016	2017
Working capital ratio not to fall below	1.15x	<b>1.15x</b>	1.15x
Funded Debt to Adjusted EBITDA not to exceed <sup>(1)(2)(3)</sup>	4.50x	<b>5.00x</b>	4.50x/4.00x
Funded Debt to Capitalization not to exceed <sup>(2)(4)</sup>	0.30x	<b>0.30x</b>	0.30x

<sup>(1)</sup> Funded Debt to Adjusted EBITDA covenant is 5.00x for September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2016. The covenant declines to 4.50x for the quarters ended March 31, 2017 and June 30, 2017 and declines to 4.00x for the quarters ended September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2017 and is set at 3.00x for each quarter after December 31, 2017.

<sup>(2)</sup> Funded Debt is defined as Total Debt excluding all outstanding senior unsecured notes and the second lien senior secured term loan facility. Total Debt includes bank loans and long-term debt (before unamortized debt issuance costs and debt discount) plus outstanding letters of credit less cash on hand with lenders (excluding any cash held in a segregated account for the purposes of a potential equity cure).

<sup>(3)</sup> Adjusted EBITDA is defined as net income or loss for the period less interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, non-cash stock-based compensation, non-controlling interest relating to Colombia, and gains and losses that are extraordinary or non-recurring.

<sup>(4)</sup> Capitalization is Total Debt plus Equity attributable to the shareholders of Calfrac.

For the quarter ended December 31, 2015 through the quarter ended December 31, 2017, advances under the credit facilities will be limited by a borrowing base. The borrowing base is calculated based on the following:

- i. Eligible North American accounts receivable, which is based on 75 percent of accounts receivable owing by companies rated BB+ or lower by Standard & Poor's (or a similar rating agency) and 85 percent of accounts receivable from companies rated BBB- or higher;
- ii. 100 percent of unencumbered cash of the parent company and its U.S. operating subsidiary, excluding any cash held in a segregated account for the purposes of a potential equity cure; and
- iii. 25 percent of the net book value of property, plant and equipment (PP&E) of the parent company and its U.S. operating subsidiary. The value of PP&E excludes assets under construction and is limited to \$150,000.

For the quarter ended December 31, 2015 through the quarter ended December 31, 2017, distributions are restricted other than those relating to the Company's share unit plans and dividend distributions, provided that the rate of dividends must not exceed \$0.015625 per share quarterly.

The indenture governing the senior unsecured notes contains restrictions on the Company's ability to pay dividends, purchase and redeem shares of the Company, and make certain restricted investments in circumstances where

- i. the Company is in default under the indenture or the making of such payment would result in a default;
- ii. the Company is not meeting the Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio<sup>(1)</sup> under the indenture of at least 2:1 for the most recent four fiscal quarters; or
- iii. there is insufficient room for such payment within a builder basket included in the indenture.

<sup>(1)</sup> The Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio is defined as cash flow to interest expense. Cash flow is a non-GAAP measure and does not have a standardized meaning under IFRS and is defined under the indenture as net income (loss) attributable to the shareholders of Calfrac before depreciation, extraordinary gains or losses, unrealized foreign exchange gains or losses, gains or losses on disposal of property, plant and equipment, impairment of assets, restructuring charges, provision for settlement of litigation, stock-based compensation, interest, and income taxes.

These limitations on restricted payments are tempered by the existence of a number of exceptions to the general prohibition, including a basket allowing for restricted payments in an aggregate amount of up to US\$20,000. As at September 30, 2016, this basket was not utilized.

The indenture also restricts the incurrence of additional indebtedness if the Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio determined on a pro forma basis for the most recently ended four fiscal quarter period for which internal financial statements are available is not at least 2:1. As is the case with restricted payments, there are a number of exceptions to this prohibition on the incurrence of additional indebtedness, including the incurrence of additional debt under credit facilities up to the greater of \$175,000 or 30% of the Company's consolidated tangible assets. At September 30, 2016, the Company was able to incur additional indebtedness in excess of \$380,000 pursuant to the aforementioned exception.

As at September 30, 2016, the Company's Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio of (0.01):1 was less than the required 2:1 ratio. Failing to meet the Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio is not an event of default under the indenture, and the baskets highlighted in the preceding paragraphs provide sufficient flexibility for the Company to make anticipated restricted payments, such as dividends, and incur additional indebtedness as required to conduct its operations and satisfy its obligations during this period of weakened market conditions.

The Company has measures in place to ensure that it has sufficient liquidity to navigate the cyclical nature of the oilfield services sector and safeguard the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. As discussed above, the Company negotiated amendments to its credit facilities to provide increased financial flexibility. These amendments include an "Equity Cure" feature pursuant to which proceeds from equity offerings may be applied as both an adjustment in the calculation of Adjusted EBITDA and as a reduction of Funded Debt towards the Funded Debt to Adjusted EBITDA ratio covenant for any of the quarters ending prior to and including December 31, 2017, subject to certain conditions including:

- i. the Company is only permitted to use the proceeds of a common share issuance to increase Adjusted EBITDA a maximum of two times;
- ii. the Company cannot use the proceeds of a common share issuance to increase Adjusted EBITDA in consecutive quarter ends;
- iii. the maximum proceeds of each common share issuance permitted to be attributed to Adjusted EBITDA cannot exceed the greater of 50 percent of Adjusted EBITDA on a rolling four-quarter basis and \$25,000; and
- iv. if proceeds are not used immediately as an equity cure they must be held in a segregated bank account pending an election to use them for such purpose, and if they are removed from such account but not used as an equity cure they will no longer be eligible for such use.

In addition, to the extent that proceeds from an equity offering are used as part of the Equity Cure, such proceeds are included in the calculation of the Company's borrowing base.

## 12. RELATED-PARTY TRANSACTIONS

In November 2010, the Company lent a senior officer \$2,500 to purchase common shares of the Company on the Toronto Stock Exchange. The loan is on a non-recourse basis and is secured by the common shares acquired with the loan proceeds. The loan was amended in February 2015 to extend the term by five years to November 8, 2020 and change the interest rate to the prescribed rate under the Income Tax Act (Canada), which rate was 1.0 percent per annum at the time of the amendment. The market value of the shares that secure the loan was approximately \$497 as at September 30, 2016 (December 31, 2015 – \$387). In accordance with applicable accounting standards regarding share purchase loans receivable, this loan is classified as a

reduction of shareholders' equity due to its non-recourse nature. In addition, the shares purchased with the loan proceeds are considered to be, in substance, stock options.

The Company leases certain premises from an entity controlled by a director of the Company. The rent charged for these premises during the nine months ended September 30, 2016 was \$1,110 (nine months ended September 30, 2015 – \$760), as measured at the exchange amount.

### 13. PRESENTATION OF EXPENSES

The Company presents its expenses on the consolidated statements of operations using the function of expense method whereby expenses are classified according to their function within the Company. This method was selected as it is more closely aligned with the Company's business structure. The Company's functions under IFRS are as follows:

- operations; and
- selling, general and administrative.

Cost of sales includes direct operating costs (including product costs, direct labour and overhead costs) and depreciation on assets relating to operations.

Additional information on the nature of expenses is as follows:

	Three Months Ended Sept. 30,		Nine Months Ended Sept. 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
<i>(C\$000s)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>
Product costs	<b>52,092</b>	96,643	<b>161,112</b>	424,176
Depreciation	<b>32,952</b>	39,476	<b>99,550</b>	116,384
Amortization of debt issuance costs and debt discount	<b>1,369</b>	623	<b>4,375</b>	1,721
Employee benefits expense (note 14)	<b>56,284</b>	78,730	<b>180,802</b>	313,429

### 14. EMPLOYEE BENEFITS EXPENSE

Employee benefits include all forms of consideration given by the Company in exchange for services rendered by employees.

	Three Months Ended Sept. 30,		Nine Months Ended Sept. 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
<i>(C\$000s)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>
Salaries and short-term employee benefits	<b>55,065</b>	78,749	<b>172,362</b>	309,647
Post-employment benefits (group retirement savings plan)	—	—	—	2,185
Share-based payments	<b>705</b>	(1,293)	<b>4,023</b>	277
Termination benefits	<b>514</b>	1,274	<b>4,417</b>	1,320
	<b>56,284</b>	78,730	<b>180,802</b>	313,429

### 15. CONTINGENCIES

#### GREEK LITIGATION

As a result of the acquisition and amalgamation with Denison in 2004, the Company assumed certain legal obligations relating to Denison's Greek operations.

In 1998, North Aegean Petroleum Company E.P.E. ("NAPC"), a Greek subsidiary of a consortium in which Denison participated (and which is now a majority-owned subsidiary of the Company), terminated employees in Greece as a result of the cessation of its oil and natural gas operations in that country. Several groups of former employees filed claims against NAPC and the consortium alleging that their termination was invalid and that their severance pay was improperly determined.

In 1999, the largest group of plaintiffs received a ruling from the Athens Court of First Instance that their termination was invalid and that salaries in arrears amounting to approximately \$10,088 (6,846 euros) plus interest were due to the former

employees. This decision was appealed to the Athens Court of Appeal, which allowed the appeal in 2001 and annulled the above-mentioned decision of the Athens Court of First Instance. The said group of former employees filed an appeal with the Supreme Court of Greece, which was heard on May 29, 2007. The Supreme Court of Greece allowed the appeal and sent the matter back to the Athens Court of Appeal for the consideration of the quantum of awardable salaries in arrears. On June 3, 2008, the Athens Court of Appeal rejected NAPC's appeal and reinstated the award of the Athens Court of First Instance, which decision was further appealed to the Supreme Court of Greece. The matter was heard on April 20, 2010 and a decision rejecting such appeal was rendered in June 2010. As a result of Denison's participation in the consortium that was named in the lawsuit, the Company has been served with three separate payment orders, one on March 24, 2015 and two others on December 29, 2015. The Company was also served with an enforcement order on November 23, 2015. Oppositions have been filed on behalf of the Company in respect of each of these orders which oppose the orders on the basis that they were improperly issued and are barred from a statute of limitations perspective. The salaries in arrears sought to be recovered through these orders are part of the \$10,088 (6,846 euros) cited above and the interest being sought in respect of these orders is part of the \$24,986 (16,956 euros) cited below. Provisional orders granting a temporary suspension of any enforcement proceedings have been granted in respect of all of the orders that have been served. The order served on March 24, 2015 was heard on November 24, 2015 and a decision is pending. A hearing in respect of the orders served in December of 2015 that was scheduled for September 20, 2016, was adjourned until November 21, 2016, and a hearing in respect of the order served on November 23, 2015 is scheduled for January 10, 2017.

NAPC is also the subject of a claim for approximately \$4,217 (2,862 euros) plus associated penalties and interest from the Greek social security agency for social security obligations associated with the salaries in arrears that are the subject of the above-mentioned decision.

The maximum aggregate interest and penalties payable under the claims noted above, as well as three other immaterial claims against NAPC totaling \$851 (578 euros), amounted to \$24,986 (16,956 euros) as at September 30, 2016.

Management is of the view that it is improbable there will be a material financial impact to the Company as a result of these claims. Consequently, no provision has been recorded in these consolidated financial statements.

## 16. SEGMENTED INFORMATION

The Company's activities are conducted in four geographical segments: Canada, the United States, Russia and Latin America (comprised of Argentina and Mexico). All activities are related to hydraulic fracturing, coiled tubing, cementing and other well completion services for the oil and natural gas industry.

The business segments presented reflect the Company's management structure and the way its management reviews business performance. The Company evaluates the performance of its operating segments primarily based on operating income, as defined below.

	Canada	United States	Russia	Latin America	Corporate	Consolidated
(C\$000s)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
<b>Three Months Ended September 30, 2016</b>						
Revenue <sup>(2)</sup>	59,577	52,640	26,303	36,405	—	174,925
Operating income (loss) <sup>(1)</sup>	(2,028)	(5,998)	4,251	(2,114)	(6,503)	(12,392)
Segmented assets <sup>(4)</sup>	641,355	710,108	102,014	165,486	—	1,618,963
Capital expenditures	4,440	435	352	1,680	—	6,907

Three Months Ended September 30, 2015						
Revenue <sup>(2)</sup>	121,469	93,142	35,874	38,590	—	289,075
Operating income (loss) <sup>(1)</sup>	10,630	(6,852)	4,333	766	(6,102)	2,775
Segmented assets <sup>(4)</sup>	642,073	955,968	109,936	205,576	—	1,913,553
Capital expenditures	3,995	11,947	122	8,881	—	24,945

	Canada	United States	Russia	Latin America	Corporate	Consolidated
(C\$000s)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
<b>Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016</b>						
Revenue <sup>(3)</sup>	177,686	176,677	71,459	115,846	—	541,668
Operating income (loss) <sup>(1)</sup>	(6,458)	(19,059)	8,069	346	(22,811)	(39,913)
Segmented assets <sup>(4)</sup>	641,355	710,108	102,014	165,486	—	1,618,963
Capital expenditures	(138)	14,747	1,594	6,796	—	22,999

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015						
Revenue <sup>(3)</sup>	409,761	570,744	105,234	123,272	—	1,209,011
Operating income (loss) <sup>(1)</sup>	24,763	3,826	10,511	9,265	(24,768)	23,597
Segmented assets <sup>(4)</sup>	642,073	955,968	109,936	205,576	—	1,913,553
Capital expenditures	26,905	60,290	1,440	39,335	—	127,970

<sup>(1)</sup> Operating income (loss) is defined as net income (loss) before depreciation, foreign exchange gains or losses, gains or losses on disposal of property, plant and equipment, expenses and gain related to business combinations, impairment of goodwill, interest, and income taxes.

<sup>(2)</sup> Argentina's revenue for the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 was \$35,163 or 20% of consolidated revenue and \$38,199 or 13% of consolidated revenue, respectively.

<sup>(3)</sup> Argentina's revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 was \$108,737 or 20% of consolidated revenue and \$117,543 or 10% of consolidated revenue, respectively.

<sup>(4)</sup> Argentina's assets as at September 30, 2016 and 2015 were \$155,052 or 10% of consolidated assets and \$184,306 or 10% of consolidated assets, respectively.

	Three Months Ended Sept. 30,		Nine Months Ended Sept. 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
<i>(C\$000s)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>
Net loss	<b>(42,169)</b>	(25,045)	<b>(140,201)</b>	(81,790)
Add back (deduct):				
Depreciation	<b>32,952</b>	39,476	<b>99,550</b>	116,384
Foreign exchange (gains) losses	<b>(127)</b>	1,808	<b>19,575</b>	3,485
Loss (gain) on disposal of property, plant and equipment	<b>583</b>	(471)	<b>520</b>	(1,614)
Business combination	—	(30,987)	—	(30,987)
Impairment of goodwill	—	9,544	—	9,544
Interest	<b>20,802</b>	17,872	<b>58,026</b>	50,678
Income taxes	<b>(24,433)</b>	(9,422)	<b>(77,383)</b>	(42,103)
Operating (loss) income	<b>(12,392)</b>	2,775	<b>(39,913)</b>	23,597

Operating income (loss) does not have a standardized meaning under IFRS and may not be comparable to similar measures used by other companies.

The following table sets forth consolidated revenue by service line:

	Three Months Ended Sept. 30,		Nine Months Ended Sept. 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
<i>(C\$000s)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>	<i>(\$)</i>
Fracturing	<b>139,326</b>	245,519	<b>444,389</b>	1,081,703
Coiled tubing	<b>21,597</b>	21,836	<b>55,845</b>	64,987
Cementing	<b>8,215</b>	20,037	<b>26,602</b>	54,248
Other	<b>5,787</b>	1,683	<b>14,832</b>	8,073
	<b>174,925</b>	289,075	<b>541,668</b>	1,209,011

## 17. SEASONALITY OF OPERATIONS

Certain of the Company's Canadian and United States businesses are seasonal in nature. The lowest activity levels in these areas are typically experienced during the second quarter of the year when road weight restrictions are in place and access to well sites in Canada and North Dakota is reduced.

## 18. DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT PLAN

The Company's Dividend Reinvestment Plan (DRIP) allows shareholders to direct cash dividends paid on all or a portion of their common shares to be reinvested in additional common shares that are issued at 95 percent of the volume-weighted average price of the common shares traded on the Toronto Stock Exchange during the last five trading days preceding the relevant dividend payment date. On September 24, 2015, the Company announced that the DRIP was suspended.

A dividend of \$0.015625 per common share, totalling \$1,806, was declared on December 4, 2015 and paid on January 15, 2016. On February 24, 2016, the Company announced that its dividend was suspended.

A dividend of \$0.0625 per common share was declared on June 17, 2015 and paid on July 15, 2015. Of the total dividend of \$6,066, \$1,500 was reinvested under the DRIP into 219,549 common shares of the Company.

A dividend of \$0.125 per common share was declared on March 18, 2015 and paid on April 15, 2015. Of the total dividend of \$12,190, \$5,645 was reinvested under the DRIP into 583,187 common shares of the Company.

A dividend of \$0.125 per common share was declared on December 4, 2014 and paid on January 15, 2015. Of the total dividend of \$11,907, \$5,588 was reinvested under the DRIP into 671,643 common shares of the Company.

## CORPORATE INFORMATION

### BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Ronald P. Mathison <sup>(1)(2)</sup>  
*Chairman  
 President & Chief Executive Officer  
 Matco Investments Ltd.*

Douglas R. Ramsay <sup>(4)</sup>  
*Vice Chairman  
 Calfrac Well Services Ltd.*

Fernando Aguilar  
*President & Chief Executive Officer  
 Calfrac Well Services Ltd.*

Kevin R. Baker, Q.C. <sup>(1)(2)(3)</sup>  
*President & Managing Director  
 Baycor Capital Inc.*

James S. Blair <sup>(3)(4)</sup>  
*President & Chief Executive Officer  
 Glenogle Energy Inc.*

Gregory S. Fletcher <sup>(1)(2)(3)</sup>  
*President  
 Sierra Energy Inc.*

Lorne A. Gartner <sup>(1)(2)(4)</sup>  
*Independent Businessman*

- (1) Member of the Audit Committee
- (2) Member of the Compensation Committee
- (3) Member of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee
- (4) Member of the Health, Safety and Environment Committee

### OFFICERS

Fernando Aguilar  
*President & Chief Executive Officer*

Lindsay R. Link  
*Chief Operating Officer*

Michael D. Olinek  
*Vice President, Finance & Interim Chief Financial Officer*

Gerardo D. Kuracz  
*President, Latin American Division*

Tom J. Medvedic  
*President, Canadian Division*

Robert L. Sutherland  
*President, Russian Division*

Fred L. Toney  
*President, United States Division*

J. Michael Brown  
*Vice President, Technical Services*

Mark R. Ellingson  
*Vice President, Sales & Marketing, United States Division*

Chris K. Gall  
*Vice President, Global Supply Chain*

Roderick P. Kuntz  
*Vice President, HS&E*

Chad J. Leier  
*Vice President, Sales & Marketing, Canadian Division*

Edward L. Oke  
*Vice President, Human Resources*

B. Mark Paslawski  
*Vice President, General Counsel & Corporate Secretary*

F. Bruce Payne  
*Vice President, Global Operations*

Gary J. Rokosh  
*Vice President, Business Development, Canadian Division*

Matthew L. Mignault  
*Corporate Controller*

### HEAD OFFICE

411 - 8th Avenue S.W.  
 Calgary, Alberta, T2P 1E3  
 Phone: 403-266-6000  
 Toll Free: 1-866-770-3722  
 Fax: 403-266-7381  
 info@calfrac.com  
 www.calfrac.com

### AUDITORS

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP  
 Calgary, Alberta

### BANKERS

HSBC Bank Canada  
 Alberta Treasury Branches  
 Royal Bank of Canada  
 Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce  
 Export Development Canada  
 The Bank of Nova Scotia

### LEGAL COUNSEL

Bennett Jones LLP  
 Calgary, Alberta

### STOCK EXCHANGE LISTING

Trading Symbol: CFW

### REGISTRAR & TRANSFER AGENT

For information concerning lost share certificates and estate transfers, or for a change in share registration or address, please contact the transfer agent and registrar:

Computershare Investor Services Inc.  
 9th floor, 100 University Avenue  
 Toronto, ON M5J 2Y1  
 1-800-564-6253  
 service@computershare.com

## FACILITIES & OPERATING BASES

### CANADA

#### ALBERTA

Calgary - Corporate Head Office  
 Calgary - Technology and Training Centre  
 Edson  
 Grande Prairie  
 Red Deer

#### BRITISH COLUMBIA

Dawson Creek

#### SASKATCHEWAN

Estevan  
 Kindersley

### UNITED STATES

#### COLORADO

Denver - Regional Office  
 Grand Junction  
 Platteville

#### NORTH DAKOTA

Williston

#### PENNSYLVANIA

Smithfield

#### TEXAS

Houston - Regional Office  
 San Antonio

### RUSSIA

Moscow - Regional Office  
 Khanty-Mansiysk  
 Nefteugansk  
 Noyabrsk  
 Usinsk

### ARGENTINA

Buenos Aires - Regional Office  
 Catriel  
 Comodoro Rivadavia  
 Las Heras  
 Neuquén

### MEXICO

Mexico City - Regional Office  
 Poza Rica  
 Reynosa  
 Villahermosa



Calfrac Well Services Ltd.  
411 - 8th Avenue SW  
Calgary, Alberta Canada  
T2P 1E3

[info@calfrac.com](mailto:info@calfrac.com)  
[www.calfrac.com](http://www.calfrac.com)